Abstract

In a companion paper, Spedicato (2010), we consider large numbers in Asian chronologies (Mesopotamia, India, Ceylon, Nepal, Japan). Such numbers are shown to get acceptable when divided by the factor 180, the same throughout the Asian continent. In this paper we consider large numbers in days, not in years, that appear in Mesoamerican Mayan and Toltecs chronologies. We show how such numbers, when reduced to years under some hypotheses, provide important information for a period extending over several thousand years BC, related to catastrophic events on our planet and to a special event in the solar system.

1. Introduction

When Europeans arrived to the American continent at the end of the 15th century and conquered in the first half of the 16th century its richest and most civilized parts, namely those corresponding to present Mexico, Guatemala and Peru, they were faced with highly developed civilizations, namely those of the Aztecs, the Mayas and the Incas. Such civilizations in addition to possessing magnificent cities had a sophisticated astronomic knowledge and had preserved in documents memory of the past, including catastrophic events. The conquering Spaniards destroyed most of these documents, being unable to understand them and attributing them to the devil. In particular we recall how bishops Diego de Landa and Nunes de la Vega ordered all documents to be collected, under death penalty, and burned them. A small number of such documents or codices have escaped destruction and when the Mayan glyphs could be read, a recent achievement, other documents became available in tombs and inscriptions on temple walls. In Mesoamerica the documents were produced on a kind
of paper made from the bark of some trees. In Peru they used the quipu system, i.e. ropes with knots and smaller ropes hanging from them. Most quipus were again destroyed, albeit a recently discovered document by Blas Valera states that the most important historic quipus were put in golden caskets and deposited on the bottom of Titicaca and another lake. They should still be there. Finding them might open a new view on the past of the south American civilization.

In this paper we consider chronological information in the extant Mesoamerican documents. It consists of number of days, not of years as is the case in most other world ancient civilization, e.g. the Asian ones considered in Spedicato (2010). Once such numbers are divided by the number of days in the year (easy to do approximately, but not accurately in view of possible variations in the number of days of the year), they give quite reasonable dates. In the following we use mainly Mayan information from Gilbert and Cotterell (1996).

2. Some features of the Mayan calendar

Mayas had different calendars and different ways of grouping years. In particular they had a sacred calendar based on 260 days, called tzolkin, possibly related (Laura Laurencich Minelli, email communication) to the number of days available to agricultural activity in the tropics. We can see 260 also as the product of the numbers 20 and 13, noting that:

- number 20 is astronomically meaningful as the interval between two successive Jupiter and Saturn conjunctions; the counting systems of the Mayas was based on 20, not on 10, as has been the case for hundreds of languages worldwide, including Basque and languages in western Siberia
- number 13 is particularly sacred for the Aztecs, see Navarro (1994), also often appearing in India, see a forthcoming monograph by Spedicato (2011). Why 13 should be a special number may relate to the fact that in the fourth millennium BC the year had very probably13 months, implying a Moon closer to Earth from Kepler’s third law, hence more providing more light. The higher luminosity of the Moon in the past is claimed by many classic authors. A year with 13 months is suggested by about one hundred Val Camonica rock inscriptions of the fourth millennium BC, studied by scholars of the Italian Archaeo-astronomy Society, led by Giuseppe Brunod, see Brunod et al (2008). In the rock inscriptions a month is identified by a dagger, and there are 13 of such daggers for the fourth millennium BC.

Mayas also had a standard year of 365 days. Both years were divided in months of 20 days, again a reference to the sacred character of this number, 13 months for the tzolkin and 18 for the standard year; the standard year had, at its start, an extra short
period of 5 days, dedicated to prayers and festivals, a fact that we also find in ancient Egypt. Every day was identified by the names of the corresponding tzolkin and standard days, hence each day had two names. After 52 years the sequence of the two names restarted, so giving to 52 a special meaning. This period is named by scholars the *aztec century*. Every 52 years, but for the Aztecs even every 13 years, monuments generally were either destroyed and reconstructed or especially restored. The year was also corrected for variations due to sextile years or precession effects. One has noted that 52 is also the rather steep angle by which the great Giza pyramids rise, but we suspect that a different reason may lie behind.

For long computations the Mayas had several time intervals, in addition to the one previously considered of 52 years, in particular we quote the *baktun* consisting of 400 years of 360 days each. Especially important was the total of 13 baktuns, corresponding to 5200 years of 360 days. This period according to Joseph Goodman, see Gilbert and Cotterell (1996), started in the year 3114 AC, in a day whose double names were 4 Ahau, 8 Cumhu. We call this number the *zero Mayan date*. Notice that this year corresponds, in our chronology for the Biblical Flood, to about 50 years after the Flood, that we set at 3161 BC, see Spedicato (2010b). In terms of events affecting Mayas, or better the Toltec, often considered as their predecessors, it could relate either to a restart of their civilization after the Flood, or to the arrival in America, probably from SE Asia, possibly from India, of a number of highly civilized people, who brought already established astronomic information. See Appendix 2.

### 3. The Mayan date for birth of Venus

In this and the following section we consider some large numbers in days that were important for the Mayas but have never been considered of real astronomic value. They achieve an astronomic very important meaning within non standard scenarios that accept the historical values of some of the ancient human traditions and propose an evolution of the solar system of the type first considered by Velikovsky (1950), then developed by Ackerman (1996 a,b), Gillighan (2009) and this author, see Spedicato (2010a).

The first important number, see Gilbert and Cotterell (1996), is that 1.366.560 days passed since the birth of Venus to the zero Mayan date. To make sense of this number we have to use the following nonstandard scenario for the evolution of the solar system within human memory:

- Spedicato (2009 b), using partly information from Velikovsky’s unpublished book *In the beginning*, see Sammer (1999), has proposed a model for a recent origin of the Moon at the time of Atlantis catastrophe, say about 9450 BC. In
Spedicato’s model a large body passes near Earth, resulting in the Atlantis catastrophe with the rapid end of the Ice Age. It also loses a satellite to the Earth, that becomes our Moon. And probably Earth loses Mars that was its previous satellite; at that time Mars was on an orbit more removed than the present Moon’s orbit, thereby looking smaller and less luminous. The orbit radius can be estimated at about one million km, from Kepler’s third law and a remarkable passage in the book De die natali, of Censorinus: the Arcadians claim, but I do not believe it, that before the Moon existed, the year had three and not twelve months

- Velikovsky (1950) claimed a recent birth of Venus, without giving a mechanism. The physicist John Ackerman (1996 a,b) has proposed such a mechanism, namely the impact of a large body over Jupiter. In our scenario of a recent origin of the Moon, it is likely that the body which passed near Earth ended its life in the giant Jupiter impact envisaged by John Ackerman.

Assuming a year of 360 days, as suggested by several arguments that indicate that the year got 365 days only after the Biblical Flood, we obtain from 1,366,560 days a total of 3796 years. Using the year estimate of 365.25 days for the post Flood period we would get about 3741 years, disregarding the possibility that Earth axis inverted three times, as suggested by some ancient statements. In this case a mathematical analysis of the event shows that the year length would change by two days, alternating between 365 and 363 days, see Spedicato and Del Popolo (2004). These considerations show that passing from the number of days in the Mayan records to the exact number of years is a non trivial problem, probably never to be solved exactly.

The question is now if such a number should be counted from the starting year 3114 BC afterwards, using the year estimate of 365.25 days, or backwards, with the year estimate of 360 days. In the first case we obtain 627 AD, a date associated to the birth and expansion of Islam. But Venus was already in the sky at that time. In the second case we would get 6910 BC. Such a date is acceptable within the Velikovsky-Ackerman scenario, for the following reasons:

- it corresponds to the evidence collected by Alexander and Edith Tollmann (1993), of Vienna University, a geologist and his wife paleontologist, that, around 7500 BC, a gross estimate, Earth was impacted at virtually the same time by seven bodies over oceans and continents. The bodies can be explained within the Ackerman scenario as material of modest size expelled from the Jupiter crater, thousand km deep, formed by the impact. Notice the claim by Ackerman that the impact point is exactly where the red spot is now, which is produced according to his analysis by material still being ejected from the crater. Recall that the Velikovsky accepted as a true fact the mythological statement of Venus being born from the head of Jupiter, a statement easily explained in the Ackerman scenario. Then a large amount of the expelled
material condensed to form what is now Venus. The body was initially extremely hot (being then the Agni of Vedic pantheon according to Ackerman), then slowly cooled, via a sequence of states that are given different names in the Vedic pantheon. Circularization of the orbit took place at about the time Roman empire started, when Venus interactions with Earth ceased (as well as Mars’s). See Dixon (2002) for mathematical analysis of this circularization and De Grazia (2009) for more on the last events associated to a catastrophic Mars.

- the event took place before the beginning of neolithic civilization, in the sixth millennium BC; that millennium was the time of the “creation” of the seven couples of the Akkadian-Sumerian creation stories, set in the Kharsag region according to Sumerian sources, in the Garden of Eden according to Bible, which is interested in only one of the seven couples. At that time Earth was very thinly populated, after the great catastrophe ending Ice Age (and Atlantis), so the fact that the birth of Venus was memorized (albeit with difficult interpretation of Vedic texts and Surya Siddhanta), must point out how spectacular the event was

- the fact that a meaningful precise date is provided by the Toltec-Mayas records suggests that they might be descendants of the civilization of Atlantis time, who kept for several thousand years knowledge of mathematical techniques and instruments for observing the sky. Such older civilization was centered in the Americas in the island Hispaniola, called by the local people when Columbus arrived, as Quisqueya, the mother of all lands, see Spedicato (2007 a, b). However their special beginning date 3114 BC may be related to an input from SE Asia, as briefly discussed below

- finally the Mayas idea that Venus had a precisely dated birth is a very important support to the Velikovsky idea of Venus as a recent planet, albeit as far as I know he was not aware of the Mayan dating. In addition to recalling again the mechanism for Venus birth proposed by Ackerman (1996 a,b), we notice that recent mathematical analysis of the formation of planetary bodies has shown the totally unexpected fact for mainstream astronomers that planets can form in very short times, of order a few centuries or even less; this against all previous expectations and calculations, where incomplete models and inaccurate algorithms were used, leading to formation times of order tens of million years, thus with an error of a factor one hundred thousand!. See Meyer et al (2002).

The above scenario is to a large extent hypothetical, and should be strengthened by further analysis of texts in ancient Americas and ancient India. Analysis that anyway would miss the many Indian manuscripts yet unpublished, especially and most
potentially interesting, those in Tamil language. Also the 6 million verses of the giant Kirghisian *Manas epic* should finally be made available – before they are lost with the disappearance of the Kirghisian folk singers. They may indeed contain invaluable material, especially since the reference to *Manas* can be argued, see Spedicato (2009c), to relate to Noah, providing for him a different place for survival than considered before.

4. Other Mayan numbers and the length of the First Age

In this section first we quote some very large Mayan numbers, then the duration they give to the First Age, for which we give a suitable interpretation showing that a very strict agreement exists with the standard Biblical based chronology.

The first large number is the so called *long computation*, equalling 136,656,000 years by using the present year value in days. This number by itself goes over several geologic ages, much beyond the about 65 million years since the Chicxulub crater impact led to the extinction of dinosaurs, as now generally accepted. It seems unbelievable that such a number originates from the human memory of some event, not to say that *homo sapiens* probably appeared only about 200,000 years ago. Interestingly we note that this number is an exact multiple of 180, our decrypting factor discovered for Asian numbers, see Spedicato (2010); by dividing by 180 we get 759,200. The fact of being divisible by 180 suggests a possible origin from Asia, India especially. This is still a large number, but might be compatible with the first appearance of hominids on Earth. How to explain the origin of such a number is beyond the powers of this writer.

Two other large numbers, found in Brennan (2003), are the following:

- the one denominated as *alantun*, given by 23,040,000,000 days. It corresponds according to Brennan to 63,312,328 years, on the basis of about 364 days per year, which is the average value of the year between the two values 365 and 363 days that relate to the duration of the year modified by inversions of the Earth axis, as established by Spedicato and Del Popolo (2004). It is interesting to note that the Essenes calendar used a year of 364 days! We noticed that the *alantun* is exactly divisible by 360, giving then 64,000,000 years. This large number is surprisingly very close to the estimated date for the impact of an asteroid over Yucatan, generating the Chixchulub crater, an impact believed to have been responsible for the disappearance of dinosaurs. If such closeness is not due to chance, explaining how Mayas could have obtained it is a task open to non standard solutions!

- The extremely large number inscribed on the so called Koba stele, corresponding to 41,341,050 sextillion years. A number of years going by far
beyond the universe age. A number possibly written as a joke, or, since according to some theories universe can go through cycles of expansion and contraction, maybe related to the beginning of such a sequence of cycles. But again, wherefrom would this knowledge have come?

Another extremely important number is the one giving in 1716 years, after the usual passage from days to numbers, the duration of the so called *first age*, according to the Toltecs, see Allen (1998). The first age can naturally be interpreted as the one when the Mesoamerican civilization started with its zero year, corresponding to 3114 BC. Thus it can be viewed as the period between the second great Platonic catastrophe, to be associated to Noah’s Flood, and the third last catastrophe, the one of Deucalion, that can be set, see Spedicato (2009), at 1447 BC. We estimate Noah’s Flood, on reasons to be given elsewhere, at 3161 BC. Our duration for the first age is therefore 1714 years, with excellent agreement with the Toltecs estimate.

**Appendix 1 – More on the Venus birth date**

The given date for Venus birth may provide a clue to wherefrom the number 3600, used by Berossus to estimate the length of the first ten kings dynasty, comes from. There are in our mind three possibilities:

1 – the number provides the period of revolution around Sun of the planet Nibiru in Sumerian mythology, associated to Marduk in the *Enuma Elish*, which plays a great role in Sitchin books, see for instance Sitchin (1996). Such a planet has been revived some years ago by mainstream astronomers as *Planet X*, no evidence for it ever found. My hypothesis is that Nibiru was the body that, by passing near Earth, led to the end of the Ice Age, of Atlantis civilization and gave one satellite to Earth as our Moon, see Spedicato (2009 b); then it ended its life in the impact over Jupiter that, inter alia, formed Venus. Thus searching for it is meaningless. A problem with this hypothesis is that it is difficult for a civilization to memorize and compute accurately the orbit of a body that takes 3600 years to complete a revolution, unless very advanced astronomic techniques are available

2 – since every 60 years the conjunctions of Jupiter and Saturn are very accurate, this fact might lead to the importance of number 60. Number 3600 is the square of 60, a number thus of special significance, at least in a cabalistic framework. However we do not believe that ancients chose their numbers from essentially trivial properties. We deem more likely that numbers relate to actual events either in the astronomic frame or in the human life
3 – going back from 6900 for 3600 years we reach 10.500 BC. This date is easily seen to correspond to the beginning of the seven “days” of creation, using the Talmudic statement that one day of God is one thousand years, a statement that also appears in one Psalm. This date is close to the one, now provisionally set at 10.900 BC, of the asteroidal stream impact over Canada, that started the Younger Dryas and burned million square km of American forests. This event was apparently memorized as the first catastrophe (due to fire followed by strong winds) of the four remembered by the Mayas. It is our opinion that this possibility is the most likely.

Appendix 2. Why 3114 BC

Here we refer to fascinating information on the possible origin of the Toltec and Mayan civilization, for which we are indebted to mr Gene D. Matlock, author of a book about Moses and Jesus in India.

In Indian ancient texts, including Fish Purana and Mahabarata, there are statements about a Flood having all the features of the Noah’s Flood, including rain lasting 40 days. At least three survivors are named. One, named Satyavarman, appears to be the equivalent of Noah. One of his sons is named Iapeti (biblical Iaphet), another one makes joke of his father noticing him not properly covered by his cloth, a well known episode that Bible refers to Cam. The information about Satyavarman suggests a location for his survival to the Flood that no one has yet considered, probably lake Manasarovar close to the Kailas sacred mountain, in Tibet. A topic to be considered elsewhere. Another survivor is called Nahusha. He is compelled to leave India, being often drunk and having raped a daughter. He crosses the ocean and reaches a small island named Sancha Dvipa, where he builds a house using seashells. Now in front of the Pacific coast of Mexico, in the region of Nayarit (whose capital is Tepic; there this author stopped after his Land Rover had an accident; a trip started at Stanford University aiming to Santa Cruz de la Sierra in Bolivia…), there is a small island, called Mexcaltitlan. This island was, according to Toltec traditions, the entry point in Mexico of Quetzalcoatl. Before the arrival of the Spaniards, people there used to build houses with seashells.

If the story is true and Mexcaltitlan was the entry point of Nahusha remembered as Quetzalcoatl, then it is quite likely that this man brought to America knowledge from India, where an advanced civilization has existed during at least one thousand years before the Flood. And that was a date, some fifty years after the 3161 BC Flood, certainly to be remembered.
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Mayan calendar, dating system of the ancient Mayan civilization and the basis for all other calendars used by Mesoamerican civilizations. The calendar was based on a ritual cycle of 260 named days and a year of 365 days. Taken together, they form a longer cycle of 18,980 days, or 52 years of 365. Encyclopaedia Britannica’s editors oversee subject areas in which they have extensive knowledge, whether from years of experience gained by working on that content or via study for an advanced degree. See Article History. Mayan calendar, dating system of the ancient Mayan civilization and the basis for all other calendars used by Mesoamerican civilizations. The calendar was based on a ritual cycle of 260 named days and a year of 365 days. Getting at the Maya Collapse has both temporal and geographic dimensions, because it occurred over centuries and great distances. This requires a wide range of research sites and proxy records, ranging from lake cores to geomorphic evidence, such as stratigraphy and speleothems. This article synthesizes these lines of evidence, together with previously undescribed findings on Maya wetland formation and use in a key region near the heart of the central Maya Lowlands. Growing lines of evidence point to dryer periods in Maya history, which correlate to major periods of transition. The main line of The Mayan calendar ends on December 21, 2012. The Mayans were OBSESSED with calendars and chronology, and they kept a careful count of the years from the creation of the world. This sacred calendar was actually handed down to the Maya from a much older and more advanced civilization, known today as the Olmecs. The Mayan civilization flourished from around 1000 B.C. to 300 A.D., and then suddenly disappeared from history. The Mayans succeeded the Olmecs, who disappeared from history sometime before 1000 B.C. Their calendar—called the LONG COUNT—has the world ending in the year 2012. The Mayan Astronomy and Calendar-Making. The Maya strongly believed in the influence of the cosmos on daily life. Consequently, Mayan knowledge and understanding of celestial bodies was advanced for their time: For example, they knew how to predict solar eclipses. They also used astrological cycles to aid in planting and harvesting and developed two calendars that are as precise as those we use today. Because the Calendar Round measured time in an endless loop, it was a poor way to fix events in an absolute chronology or in relationship to one another over a long period. For this job, a priest working in about 236 BC devised another system: a calendar that he called the Long Count. The pantheon of the Maya is a vast collection of deities who were worshipped throughout the region which, today, comprises Yucatan, Quintana Roo, Campeche, Tabasco, and Chiapas in Mexico and southward through Guatemala, Belize, El Salvador and Honduras. Not all of the gods were venerated in all of the city-states of the Maya (at least, not by the same name) but the type of god, and what that god symbolized to the people, seems to have been universally recognized. Still, the message of the myths is the same: the gods struggled to create human beings just as humans struggle with their own attempts at creation and survival and, also, that life comes from the earth (here, in the form of corn, the staple of the Mayan diet) and so the earth must be honored and respected.