

Vibrational Medicine: A Closer Look at Homeopathics

S. D. R. Bruell

174 Donald St., Brunswick East, Melbourne, Victoria 3057, Australia

Abstract— This study attempts to explain the mechanism by which homeopathics act to cure disease. It is understood that many homeopathic solutions are diluted to the point where they do not contain any chemical or molecular trace of the original substance they are derived from. Therefore, it is proposed here that the mechanism of action involves the particular electromagnetic vibratory characteristics of the medicine, the body, and the disease state or toxin which resides in the tissue. These vibrations seem to interact in a regular fashion within and between cells, and thus the postulated hypothesis also predicts the communicative nature of electromagnetic waves within living systems in general. These conjectures are currently supported by theoretical evidence, although they may be disproved or validated with further experimentation making use of modern techniques in microscopy and amplification.

DOI: 10.2529/PIERS060905211830

1. INTRODUCTION

The central tenet behind the theory of homeopathic medicine is “like cures like”. In other words, a substance which can cause particular symptoms in a healthy organism can cure those same symptoms in the sick when applied in smaller doses. This principal has been recognized not only in Hippocratic medicinal traditions going back over 2000 years, but also in much earlier Greek mythology, as an infected wound which was inflicted by Achilles’ spear was reported to be healed by a tiny part of that same spear [12]. Samuel Hahnemann (1755–1843) developed the techniques by which homeopathic medicine is still prepared and tested to this day. His method involved the serial dilution and succussion (heavy pounding or shaking) of substances in order to reduce them to their smallest and more powerful potencies, coining the notion of the “infinitesimal dose”. He used the principle *similia similibus curentur* to explain the importance of “proving” the various remedies, or testing them on healthy subjects to find out what the specific substances do to cause disease [11]. The symptoms brought about by provings are the same or similar to those which the medicine is normally capable of curing, although there is some variance according to individual sensitivity [3]. Homeopathics have been noted to be deactivated upon exposure to certain forms of electromagnetic radiation as well as various other known carcinogenic substances (Schiff 1994).

Up until now the general view has been that homeopathics work according to a placebo effect, despite a wealth of legitimate scientific literature on the subject, some of which attempts to explain an alternative mechanism of action. Following the completion of a thorough literature review on the subject, I have used the available evidence to further justify the proposed hypothesis and these findings will be summarized in this paper. In addition, some possible methodology to definitively validate, alter or disprove the said suggestions as to the action orchestrated within the body by homeopathic medicine will be discussed.

2. EFFICACY

The efficacy of homeopathics has been challenged continually throughout history despite its widespread usage throughout the world, with the total estimated market being around US\$230 million. In the UK alone approximately 20% of the population have used homeopathic products, with sales reported at around £25 million per annum [14]. Many papers and discussions have attempted to ultimately prove the hypothesis that they work due to some kind of placebo effect, brought about not only by people’s ‘belief’ in the drug, but also by the absence of the damaging side effects often associated with traditional medicine. However, a number of reviews have reached conflicting results in these attempts (see [8, 10] for eg.), with the overall impression being that despite the unpopularity of homeopathics in traditional circles, its spread continues unabated and the majority of experimental outcomes do not support the placebo hypothesis. Positive results have been documented in numerous experiments and clinical trials, although repetition has proved difficult in some cases [12]. Experimentation involving plants, animals and humans has been conducted over the past 200 years in various spheres of medicine and science and the results have consistently been sufficient to justify the continued exploration of the drugs, which goes on to this day.

3. CURRENT MODELS

Although many authors have chosen to admit to ignorance as to the actual mechanism of action, various other models have been hypothesized. Current alternatives to the Placebo Effect include the attribution of the medicine to a ‘vital’ or ‘dynamic’ force by Paracelsus, Hahnemann and others (see [11]); the suggestion of a molecular or chemical signal being contained in the water molecules surrounding the chemicals due to some magic (or exceptional) property of water, also known as the Memory of Water [5]; some function related to Chaos Theory [3]; and the presence of electric fields within living cells [4]. The proposed hypothesis and rationale contradicts only the Placebo Hypothesis in logical terms, and the Memory of Water seems somewhat implausible, although water can play an integral role in what probably constitutes a fairly complex series of interactions. Chaos is presented more as a way of methodically describing current understanding of certain systems than as an actual hypothesis of action, and it seems relevant in this case (see [3], Chapter 7 and Appendix 2 for relevance to homeopathics and biological systems). The theory regarding the presence of electric fields is identical in essence to the hypothesis under discussion, and it was proposed and explored under the name of “digital biology” by Jacques Benveniste (1999). The biophysical descriptions published by physicists Emilio Del Giudice, Giuliano Preparata and others (summarized in [3]; Schiff 1994) appear to be logical in their fundamental understanding and in their various approaches to the problem. However, they avoid discussing the action at as close a vantage point as is required. Furthermore, this is the first paper that the author is aware of that deals with the concept of the meeting of corresponding electromagnetic vibrations in cellular or intercellular space and the subsequent “canceling out” effect which is thought to be the result. This is called the Vibration Hypothesis. Given the appropriate attention, there exist various means to explore this possibility which are readily available within the infrastructure of today’s scientific world.

4. THE VIBRATION HYPOTHESIS

I propose the existence of a mechanism by which a particular vibrational signature, or frequency, is implanted on the homeopathic medium, and the medicine is thus able to interact with corresponding vibrations within the body. If the homeopathic chosen is of the same, or a similar frequency to that exhibited by the disease state then a cancellation effect can occur, such that two equivalent vibrations traveling in opposite directions meet, combine and essentially disappear. Hence both the diseased area and the medicine itself are “silenced”. The toxin, having been stripped of its communicative power, could then be isolated within its environment, and it would become easy for the immune system to locate it and clear the affected area, restoring it to health. Due to the fact that the homeopathic resembles the toxin, if the opposite vibration were not present in the subject, the consequent proving which has been documented to occur could be explained by the immune system’s automatic response to something it normally associates with a foreign presence. A small and short-lived auto-immunological response could result.

This hypothesis is implicitly supported by a variety of evidence collected by researchers around the world, although it could still be disproved, as is the case with any new theory. Immunologists, physiologists and physicists are among the many authors who have confronted homeopathy (see [2, 5, 7] for eg.). A wide variety of experiments have involved the serial dilution and vigorous pounding (or succussion) of substances, and also the electronic means of producing the drugs which makes use of techniques similar to those found in radionics technology (described in [9], pages 24–26; see also [6]). The scientists then observed the resulting solutions’ activities when exposed to various cell surface receptors normally triggered by the given antiserum, such as to induce certain reactions at both the chemical and cellular levels (see [1]; Figures 24–27 for example of cellular activation). In fact, many of the body’s immune cells have been seen to activate when exposed to the diluted and succussed solutions. Other solutions have been recorded to inhibit cellular activity, and various observations document the homeopathics’ capacity to interact with the substances they were originally derived from [2].

Bellavite and Signorini (2002) state and consider the hypothesis that “a homeopathic drug works by providing information commensurate with the complexity of the organism with which it interacts”. This implies that the information carried by homeopathics is “commensurable”, or “like” the information already in the body (the presence of certain vibrations within the tissue) and that it can possibly activate the regulatory system in ways very similar to the action of the substance itself in large quantities. The radionics machinery uses electromagnetic waves to program the

medium to contain the homeopathic message and therefore it may be the case that electromagnetic radiation is being used to communicate certain messages to the internal structures within the body, which presumably understand the message because they produce a corresponding electromagnetic communication. Indeed there have been experiments which reported luminescence at the point of interaction [2]. However, the field of radionics is also found to be lacking a coherent theory to explain its action, and more research is required to rationalize the actions performed by these machines which can essentially change the vibratory state of a solid or liquid medium to match that of another physical substance according to a list of “rates” which have been calculated experimentally [6]. The idea of electromagnetic involvement was also suggested by Del Giudice and Preparata (in [3]), Benveniste (in Schiff 1994) and quite possibly others before them. However, in the cases of both of the above analyses, the analysts seemed to become sidetracked by the examination of the water itself as the medium which, although valid and interesting, does not reach the heart of the matter at this point, nor does it appear to open the doors to new realms of understanding in quite the same way that the exploration of the vibrations themselves does.

5. FUTURE IMPLICATIONS

The particular vibrational characteristics of various substances, both living and otherwise, can be systematically (and numerically) studied using processes and theories developed in radionics (see www.copenlabs.com), although much work is required before these theories can be based upon clear scientific foundations. Furthermore, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR), Beta radiation emission and other such techniques have already been used to validate the effect of the potentizing process. It has been suggested that the said process of dilution and succussion creates “holes” in solutions and the emission of an electron (Conté et al., in Winston 1999, pp 457). Many of these concepts have been scientifically explored to some extent, generally with very compelling results [3]. Further experimentation involving the use of modern technologies such as high-powered microscopes, extremely low frequency (ELF) amplifiers and even particle accelerators could see the dramatic improvement of our understanding of the physiological mechanisms behind homeopathy and of the vibratory and communicative systems at work not only in living tissue, but in matter in general. This knowledge could feasibly open doors to new fields of investigation that have never before been within the reach of conventional scientific methodology, and the implications in both modern medicine and in other areas are hard to predict at this point. Of equal importance is the requirement to submit the postulated hypothesis to the possibility of disproving. If the experiments that attempt to observe the meeting of various electromagnetic wavelengths within the microenvironments of cells and tissue show that no such vibrations are present at all, then weight could be lent to the proposition that homeopathics work entirely due to a placebo effect on believers, or alternatively due to some other mechanism as yet undiscovered.

6. CONCLUSION

It is the author’s suggestion that with the combination of homeopathy’s sensitivity, modern science’s expertise and the Vibration Hypothesis we could see the accurate and methodological study of the activity of electromagnetic radiation within living systems, and of the specific vibrational properties of atoms, chemicals and molecules which allow them to release and receive these communicative signals over relatively vast distances. It is probable that clinical results would then be witnessed within a short time for a large variety of ailments in both humans and animals. Although homeopathy is already in widespread use around the world, by revealing its inner secrets we could attempt to use the technology to achieve even greater results, both for the relief of illness and for the extension and growth of mankind’s collective intelligence and ingenuity.

REFERENCES

1. Alberts, B., A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, *Molecular Biology of the Cell 4th ed.*, Garland Science, New York and London, 2002.
2. Bellavite, P., A. Conforti, F. Pontarollor, and R. Ortolani, “Immunology and homeopathy,” *Evidence Based Comp. Alt. Medicine*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 13–24, 2006.
3. Bellavite P. and A. Signorini, *The Emerging Science of Homeopathy: Complexity, Biodynamics and Nanopharmacology*, North Atlantic Books, Berkeley, 2002.
4. Benveniste, J., “From water memory to digital biology,” *Network*, Vol. 69, 11–14, 1999.
5. Benveniste, J., E. Davenas, F. Beauvais, J. Amara, M. Oberbaum, B. Robinzon, A. Miadonna, A. Tedeschi, B. Pomeranz, P. Fortner, P. Belon, J. Sainte-Laudy, and B. Poitevin, “Human

- basophil degranulation triggered by very dilute antiserum against IgE,” *Nature*, Vol. 333, 816–818, 1988.
6. Copen, B., *Electronic Homoeopathic Medicine (Rates Listing)*, 17th Revised Edition, Bruce Copen Laboratories, Highfield Laboratories, Sussex, 1996. See also www.copenlabs.com.
 7. Del Giudice, E., G. Preparata, and G. Vitiello, “Water as a free electric dipole laser,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, Vol. 61, No. 9, 1085–1088, 1988.
 8. Linde, K., N. Clausius, G. Ramirez, D. Melchart, F. Eitel, L. V. Hedges, and W. B. Jonas, “Are the clinical effects of homoeopathy placebo effects? A meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials,” *The Lancet*, Vol. 350, No. 9081, 834–843, 1997.
 9. Schiff, M., *The Memory of Water: Homeopathy and the battle of ideas in the New Science*, Thorsons, London, 1995.
 10. Shang, A., K. L. Huwiler-Müntener, L. Nartey, P. Jüni, S. Dörig, J. A. C. Sterne, D. Pewsner, and M. Egger, “Are the clinical effects of homoeopathy placebo effects? Comparative study of placebo-controlled trials of homoeopathy and allopathy,” *The Lancet*, Vol. 366, 726–32, 2005.
 11. Shepherd, D., *The Magic of the Minimum Dose: Experience and Cases*, Health Science Press, Bradford, England, 1938.
 12. Walach, H., W. B. Jonas, J. Ives, R. Van Wijk, O. Weingertner, and P. Nat, “Research on homeopathy: state of the art,” *J. Alt. Comp. Med.*, Vol. 11, No. 5, 813–829, 2005.
 13. Winston, J., *The Faces of Homeopathy, An Illustrated History of the First 200 Years*, Great Auk Publishing, Tawa, New Zealand, 1999.
 14. *Homoeopathy, International Conference of Drug Regulatory Authorities (ICDRA)*, published by the World Health Organization (WHO), [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2003/a79903_\(chp14\).pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2003/a79903_(chp14).pdf), 107–110.

Vibrational Medicine. 15,050 likes · 32 talking about this. I don't claim anything here mine. This is a place for everyone. It's your vehicle for the Journey. Look after it. 2. you will learn lessons. You are enrolled in a full-time informal school called life on planet Earth. Every person or incident is the Universal Teacher. You will do well to learn from them. 3. there are no mistakes, only lessons. Growth is a process of experimentation. International Journal of Integrative Medicine. Vibrational Medicine, Allopathic. Medicine, Flower Essence Use and. Paradigms and Challenges in Healthcare. Vibrational medicine takes account of this and also looks at the function of the software programmes, especially paying attention to the principles of retuning and re-harmonizing. It looks at such occurrences within the body as a microcosm. that echoes the greater macrocosm of the universe. The. Vibrational medicine then, by description is a non-toxic, non-invasive, no-touch modality which by its proximity, or projection can affect highly positive and immediate beneficial change to one's existing energetic state. We call that change, healing; and it can be as simple a thing as a kind word over the phone at the end of a long day. This is the all natural, all pure spherical healing environment of vibrational medicine. Restoring the human instrument to manufacturer's specifications. The closer to departure time, the more resistant my granddaughter became; to the point I realized she was in severe discomfort; which can be almost anything to a 3-yr. old. when I took a more detailed look at what was playing out in front of me, it became so clear.