

# CHAPTER SEVEN

## INTERNAL MIGRANTS AND THE CHALLENGE OF THE "FLOATING POPULATION" IN THE PRC

**Dorothy J. Solinger**

Why should we link the internal migrants of China with the word "challenge?" Indeed, that people moving about across their own native terrain should be dubbed "migrants" is already a bit of a puzzle. This is especially the case for the ones in China, since they are very much viewed and dealt with as if outsiders, even outcasts, surely as elements who do not "belong" where they have arrived.

This paper considers a double challenge surrounding their persons--one not just for the Chinese state, and metropolitan communities, but also one belonging to the urban-situated Chinese peasant transients themselves, as well. These peasants away from home are the occupants of an awkward category, the "floating population," whose members populate a nebulous space that is neither their home nor their host, and who yet must negotiate an existence somewhere in between the two.

Even to survive in this bedeviling domain--much less to thrive there--these sojourners need to navigate amidst a sobering array of contradictory poles. These are the antinomies of nationalism and localism; citizenship and foreignness; community and officialdom; the rhetoric of rights and the reality of an absence of rights; the socialist superstructure still lingering about and the base of capitalism now closing in on its landscape; and, perhaps most challenging of all, between two realms that ought not be mutually conflicting at all, but which surely are in China today: the market and the law.

At the heart of the plight of the incoming peasants in cities is the immaturity--or, less optimistically but conceivably more accurately--one might say the stubborn, nagging, ongoing inadequacy of that elusive element, law, in Chinese society today. For could it come of age, could it fulfil its function, law could stand as the bridge that links up the nation with local exclusivism; full citizen with sojourning denizen; the subcommunity of the sidelined with the sphere of officialdom. Too, it is up

to the law to bring together the discourse of rights with the delivery of them; and to bend the institutional legacies of socialism to fit the immediacy of capitalism. It could also, probably, manage to tame the madness of the current Chinese marketplace.

I proceed by reviewing in turn each of these conflicts characterizing the challenges of (in the double senses of "posed by" and "for") the floating population, as they affect the triangular tie between state, local society, and alien in Chinese cities today, and as they have been affecting it over the past two decades, without much really fundamental alteration. But first I need to supply some background, so that the reader can comprehend just why so much bother surrounds the movement into the municipalities of their own nation by ethnic Chinese from the countryside. **(period here was omitted)**

### **A. A BIT OF BACKGROUND**

How have Chinese peasants, treated as so out-of-place, come to be found in the cities; and why is this an issue? In the socialist times of Mao Zedong, following the institution of the Communist Party's reign (1949), Party leaders almost immediately essayed to keep the cities clear of rural folk.<sup>1</sup> At first, in the '50's, their effort was meant to ensure order, keep better track of the populace, and guarantee that the numbers of urbanites would be manageable, thereby reserving urban resources for the pursuit of heavy industrialization and the city workers who engaged in it.<sup>2</sup> Probably too, in cities, potential popular discontent would have been deemed much more serious; also there a hope of building a modernized economy seemed within reach, if only the numbers of people residing there could be kept within strict bounds.

This quotation from the 1950's, of the then-Minister of Public Security Luo Ruiqing, reveals concerns about chaos and criminality that disposed early, post-1949 Communist elites to attempt to dispel farmers arriving from across the urban frontier:

During the last few years the phenomenon of rural migrants blindly flowing into the cities has become a comparatively serious problem..This type of thing [recruiting people without urban household registrations to work in city enterprises] helped to make a bad situation really chaotic and created enormous difficulties for various aspects of city planning and the maintenance of social order. It led to a whole series of problems emerging in the areas of city transportation, accommodation, supply, employment, study, etc...and thus created a very tense situation. The rural population that blindly drifts into the city is unable to find work and consequently suffers great difficulties. Some of these people roam idly in the streets, and some even go as far as to be enticed into evil activities, becoming pickpockets or swindlers or adopting other criminal activities, all of which destroy the social order of the cities.<sup>3</sup>

After the disastrous Great Leap Forward of the late 1950's, concerns over food grain shortages and potentially serious urban hunger led the leadership to banish back to the countryside tens of millions of country workers who had somehow slipped into town in the previous decade or been recruited during the Great Leap Forward.<sup>4</sup> For the urban population had increased by 31.7 million, or 32 percent in just the three years of the Leap, with 90 percent of the increase the result of migration.<sup>5</sup>

Repatriation of peasants back to the countryside had occurred in 1955 and again in 1957. But the forced exodus after 1960 was totally unprecedented.<sup>6</sup> John P. Emerson cites figures of 20 million for 1961 and 30 million for the following year.<sup>7</sup> These deportations were not just more sizable than earlier ones; they were also far more successful.<sup>8</sup> Most importantly, they set down the model for migration control that lasted for the next two decades.

And so, once repatriated, these farmers languished in field labor for the next 20 years. The early 1960's saw the start of a most rigid enforcement of a system of household registration (the hukou system), which consigned peasant households to the region of their birth and deprived them of rations and of the entitlements of employment, housing, medical care, education, and pensions paid out to city

inhabitants. That system of distinction had been pushed rather gingerly and ineffectively beginning in 1955,<sup>9</sup> but got real force with the failure of the Leap. The evolution of the resultant status order is captured in this quotation:

Just after liberation, peasant households did not feel lower-rank [diren yideng] and urban households did not feel higher...Later, a great difference in interests came from the difference in where one lived...A ranking structure was gradually established with the peasant household at the lowest level.<sup>10</sup>

Thus, within a short time after 1960, the following situation began to prevail:

There are two social classes; [the difference between] the agricultural and non-agricultural hukou makes the rural population exert its utmost strength to squeeze onto the rolls of the urban hukou.<sup>11</sup>

As illustration of the extremity of the life style distinctions involved, a popular ditty had it that, it is "better [to have] a bed in the city than a house in the suburbs" [ning yao shiqu yizhang chuang, buyao jiaoqu yitao fang].<sup>12</sup>

But, with the **OMIT THE WORD ABRUPT HERE** shift at the doctrinal level (at first, and gradually at the level of practice, little by little) away from allegiance to the orthodox, socialist planned economy to granting legitimacy to market forces in the early 1980's, China's leaders let the ruralites leave the villages, where they had been quite literally landlocked onto the fields. Sudden, headlong and steadily more and more marketized industrialization that got underway within just a few years of Chairman Mao's 1976 demise, plus frantic building in the cities, combined with the ending of socialist-era (with its focus just on heavy industry)'s starvation of the service sector, all made manifest what had been a gaping chasm of demand, into which peasant workers--freed from the farms--unceasingly poured.

But even as the economy became steadily more marketized, even as planning and rations fell away bit by bit, the cumbrous shadows of socialist-era institutions and biases, especially the stigma

attached to farm hands, have not easily been eradicated. So despite the hefty contribution to urban prosperity of the peasant-workers' toil, and the rather questionable nature of the actual drain they truly put on urban resources, they have remained pariahs for the most part up to the present, once ensconced in town.<sup>13</sup> I proceed to consider the **six** contradictions noted above that are posed by Chinese rural people's social exclusion from urban Chinese society, even as they live beside it, challenging it and its state and being challenged by them.

## **B. NATIONALISM AND LOCALISM; CITIZENSHIP AND FOREIGNNESS**

In spite of the raucous nationalism emanating from China in recent years, internally the country is--and has historically been--replete with many restrictive local identities, each of them the foundation for fierce loyalties to place. Emily Honig has even likened these region-based particularisms, with their lasting power and mutual suspicions, and their antagonistic inter-relations, to ethnic differences, even though all the people involved are properly speaking Han Chinese.<sup>14</sup>

The concept of a separation between a permanent residence and a temporary abode has a venerable pedigree in China. Sojourning was common in historical times; while the wanderer traveled, and even settled away from home, his family would remain at the old homestead.<sup>15</sup> The term jiguan referred to the place of residence which defined a person's origin, and both social custom and governmental policy emphasized this attribute.<sup>16</sup>

Scholars have termed regional groupings within the historical Chinese labor market an "ethnic division of labor"<sup>17</sup>; writing of the 18th century, Susan Naquin and Evelyn Rawski report that "native place was the principle most often invoked as grounds for affiliation and assistance by men who left their homes to work in an alien environment."<sup>18</sup> Work on the late 19th and early 20th centuries similarly

identified native place bonds that structured residence, work experiences, mutual aid, conflict, and power formation in the urban areas of that time.<sup>19</sup>

And yet, in these historical cases, the principle of exclusion was purely inter-provincial; no sharp distinction was ever drawn between urban and rural, either in acknowledging or in assigning identities.<sup>20</sup> Instead, city and country were perceived as linked in a seamless web of comings and goings, by family diversification strategies in which roles and assets could be split between different locales.<sup>21</sup>

It was only in the early 20th century when what Myron Cohen has termed "a major crisis of cultural integration and national identity" occurred--sparked in large part by China's brush with the West and its urban-based imperialistic "treaty ports"--that there arose a novel style of urban "elite intellectual nationalism." Cohen goes on to explain that this new elite "reject[ed] and condemn[ed] the traditional culture of the Chinese masses," thus disdaining to make common cause with their country fellow-nationals, even inventing a new word to set them apart, namely, nongmin [literally, rural folk], a term with a pejorative twist still in use today. This movement can be said to have paved the way for combining the much more timeworn xenophobic stance toward extra-locals with a new, equally disparaging one toward peasants, a position that the Communists' policies later nurtured and expanded upon.<sup>22</sup>

Even as Chinese people may unite today against the American bombing of their embassy, or perceived current slights from and distant shameful memories of the Japanese, relations between Chinese "floaters" and Chinese urban dwellers remain tinged with vigilance, sub-segments of the same Han Chinese ethnic family divided by distrust, and even, it has been discovered, disgust.<sup>23</sup> Either in spite of or because of the very limited interaction between these two groups, 97 percent of an educated

sample of Shanghai natives admitted in a 1995 survey that they felt disturbed by the outsiders in regard to at least one of four issues (transportation, security of property, the environment, or employment), while as many as 71 percent believed themselves to be threatened either somewhat or seriously by the migrants.<sup>24</sup> The quotations from popular journals below illustrate the clannish separatism practiced by both parties:

Lots of outside construction teams have come into Shanghai from [the nearby provinces of] Jiangsu, Shandong, etc...these people use their work sites as their home. Their mobility is great and their quality is lacking; they're without any legal knowledge or concept of the law. Their livelihood customs and speech are each different and they display a thick hue of local cliquism. If one suffers a loss, all will attack...They protect each other if there are problems..This can even lead to disturbances. They provoke quarrels: a team at a local glass factory's construction site provoked a brawl with a Shanghai team, leading the Shanghai team not to dare even to go to work.<sup>25</sup>

Another:

In feuds, local people get more supporters among the onlookers; there are few dissuaders. The contradiction between local and outside people has now become a social contradiction...They treat outsiders as second-class citizens and see them as the snatchers of local people's interests.<sup>26</sup>

And yet one more:

Their thinking, morality, language, and customs are all different, their quality is inferior. The places they inhabit are very likely dirty places...They lack a concept of public morality...so that behavior that harms prevailing social customs occurs time and time again. City residents are dissatisfied because they disturb normal life and livelihood.<sup>27</sup>

Given their outrage against the outsiders, it is unremarkable that members of the urban "host society" harass their guests:

When they ask the way, Beijing people intentionally send them in the opposite direction; if they carelessly bump someone getting off the bus, it can lead to a brutal attack. When they enter a restaurant, the waiter creates difficulties. When they knock on the door and ask for old things to buy, the owner might fiercely spit!<sup>28</sup>

In the very typical words of one of my own interviewees in the major northern city of **Tianjin in 1992**,  
“We couldn't possibly [buhuide] accept them as regular urban people...people look down on them as peasants...Tianjin people don't want to marry outsiders.”<sup>29</sup>



Besides this discrimination against extra-locals at the level of city folks' feelings, urbanized peasants' historical exclusion from benefits and entitlements once the birthright of urbanites (but no longer so, with the now rapid incursion of the market into the world of welfare), surely makes it possible to argue that only locals native to a given city have **had** the status of "citizens." Here I am drawing on the conceptualization I gave of this notion in my 1999 book, where I state that,

..as a Chinese scholar remarked, the hukou--very much as a badge of citizenship in a Western society would do--[before about 1995] determined one's entire life chances, including one's social rank, wage, welfare, food rations, and housing.<sup>30</sup>  
...These are the kinds of "goods and opportunities that shape life chances" which only citizenship can guarantee.<sup>31</sup>

I further justified my **somewhat unusual** use of the concept of citizenship in this context because the term has, after all, been variously defined.<sup>32</sup> In the words of Brian S. Turner, for instance, "The modern question of citizenship is structured by two issues": The first of these has to do with social membership, or, one might say, with belonging to a community; the second concerns the right to an allocation of resources [emphasis added].<sup>33</sup> Interestingly, these conditions are much the same as those that pertained to the possession of the urban register [**hukou**] in China through the early 1990's, and still carry some influence today. Also in the broader literature, a number of scholars find that the hallmark of citizenship is exclusivity, as it "confers rights and privileges" (again, as has the urban hukou) just to those legally living within specifically designated borders.<sup>34</sup> Though the boundaries that define "members" [viz., citizenship] are most typically drawn around the urban (or national) geographical community, historically and even sometimes today around the world, they have also delineated only some of the groups within such geopolitical spaces.

Working with such a formulation enabled me to argue that "the values and behaviors that citizenship endorses in a society will reflect the norms of whatever might be the dominant participatory

and allocatory institutions in the community with which the citizen is affiliated," and to emphasize "not the political but just the identity/membership and distributive components of citizenship." Given this understanding, I considered as full, official, state-endorsed urban citizens only those who enjoyed a form of valid, official membership in or affiliation to the city, and who consequently were the recipients of state-disbursed goods. Even up to the present, officially ruralites in big cities are still denied genuine membership, the right to belong officially.<sup>35</sup>

But, if law were to intervene in this battle of localisms and city versus country folk, it could override the prejudice and discrimination that consigns peasants to a lower order, allowing them to take their place as equals under the urban administration, and among those who are now judged full-fledged urban residents. For, after all, the current version of the Chinese state constitution, adopted in 1982, announces in its Article 33 that, "All persons holding the nationality of the People's Republic of China" are citizens, equal before the law, and enjoying the rights while performing the duties prescribed in the constitution and the law.<sup>186</sup>

### **C. SUBCOMMUNITY AND OFFICIALDOM**

But this issue is actually more complex. As I contended in my 1999 book, migrants in Chinese cities are by no means all of a kind. I differentiated between those from the **less prosperous** inland, who tended to become sorted into manual laborers (employed, usually in comparatively short-term slots, in construction, hauling, or transport teams, or else in some form of household labor) or manufacturing (often in the foreign-invested firms along the coast, but some in state-owned enterprises, too). A second category were those from **the wealthier**, coastal provinces, who were heirs to a legacy of marketing or specialized crafts and skills (such as tailoring, shoe repair, barbering), who took up

these tertiary-sector occupations once having arrived in a town. And a third group was comprised of indigent drifters who might end up as scrap collectors or beggars, and who largely hailed from very poor locales.<sup>37</sup>

In my book, I went on to show that belongingness in urban society was a variable condition. Most of the incomers were unable to create any kind of connection at all to the original urban society-- that is, to establish any personal bond with the people who had long lived in the city where the migrants had landed and who possessed urban registration there. But, by the early and mid-1990's some had contrived, either through their affluence--acquired in urban commerce, which eventually enabled them to accumulate enough funds to purchase an urban registration--or else via some form of prior personal tie to local officialdom, to find an entree for themselves. Still, the overwhelming majority of the newcomers were treated just as transients by the locals, no matter how lengthy the period of their time in the town. They were, upon their appearance there, and forever after, quite clearly outsiders, with no hope or pretense of being a part of the municipal populace.

But just because most could not become urban or members, not every migrant remained a single unit within an anomic mass. Migrants of the second, **more skilled group with coastal roots**, I demonstrated, frequently congregated in what the Chinese term urban "villages," spaces within cities where, at the extreme end, the residents in them live by their own rules and mores, communicate in their local dialects from home, run their own shops and services for their co-provincials, and **even** organize business networks. **Among** the Wenzhouese,<sup>38</sup> who are the most successful of the migrants of this type, **such networks have** with an international scope. Since their communities are constructed over time by chain migration and bonds of interpersonal patronage (as are those of migrants the globe around), their operative mode in managing their internal affairs is not the state's laws or its informal regulations (which,

granted, they flaunt at their peril), but the trust they can generate among themselves.<sup>39</sup> Indeed, the rules they live by lack any reference to the laws of the state.

**True**, migrants of the first type (**the inland manual workers**) might pass substantial periods laboring and traveling in a particular team. Frequently the contractors who gathered them into a company, **however**, were an arrogant and peremptory lot, wielding enormous arbitrary and fearsome command over their underlings.<sup>40</sup> Similarly, those of the third, **drifter**, category were occasionally combined into beggar bands, or collected their garbage and scraps under orders from a tyrannical chieftain. But the internal relations in crews of this kind tended, like those of the manual workers, to be steeply hierarchical, with bosses lording it over frequently shifting and rank-ordered underlings.<sup>41</sup> So we cannot conclude that genuine communities cohered with shared understandings and common goals and responsibilities, as they could among the skilled and more monied migrants.<sup>42</sup>

Yet the one thing shared by a great many of the incomers--of whatever type--is that they simultaneously inhabited two different spaces: the immediate and more or less known one of their own subcommunity, and the more nebulous and distant one of **officialdom and** the larger society beyond their own nested realm. In that greater, all-encompassing space, most migrants were at the mercy of **urban administrators'** caprice, without being able to cry out for any compelling legal appeal that could serve as a bridge between this more foreign space and the one to which they had been consigned **by native place and occupation.**

Standing against the trust that made business thrive for the **community and the** successful itinerants, and that enabled its arteries to extend across continents, **NOTE: WORDS OMITTED HERE** were two other principles of contemporary Chinese urban life, neither relying on mutual confidence, and both nearly wholly lawless. These are, first, market precepts undergirding profit and

greed, eventuating of late in China in the crassest and grossest of breeds of corruption, a "market" run wild; and secondly, the remnants of the worst of the maxims of socialism, including official privilege and perquisites, making for an easy milieu for rent-seeking by the empowered, along with politicized statuses for the underdogs which are carved in concrete.

All three of these realms have some overlapping regions, but most of the participants of the first realm, the enclave community, are totally barred from the third, the official one. Only an effective societally-wide, all-inclusive and serviceable legal process--which, alas, is currently absent in China **for these people** in all but the very most rudimentary of forms--could have the power to brake the utter licentiousness of these two latter worlds, and to include in a larger frame, not to mention a fair one--the members of the first, the "floating" urban farmers.

#### **D. THE RHETORIC AND THE REALITY OF RIGHTS**<sup>43</sup>

An important current in the study of globalization<sup>44</sup> and its impacts holds that the spread of economic liberalism and of the concept of human rights globally has eventuated in new notions of citizenship. What has been termed a "postnational" citizenship, granted "on the basis of personhood," has increasingly offered to immigrants the rights and privileges once granted just to nationals, in **this** view. Whether the mechanism at work is said to be principally ideational--as, by "changes in the institutional and discursive order of rights at the global level"<sup>45</sup>--or ideational-cum-material--as, in the words of another author, through the dissemination of notions of social justice and human rights which accompany the spread of market relations, both domestically and internationally--this analysis claims to see underway a new "extension of rights to individuals who are not full members of the societies in which they reside."<sup>46</sup>

In another, similar formulation, the proliferation of international human rights law, which "recognizes the individual as an object of rights regardless of national affiliations or associations with a territorially-defined people," has meant in recent years that "states [have] had to take account of persons qua persons as opposed to limiting their responsibilities to their own citizens."<sup>47</sup> Whether or not these claims are valid, one could make a very different argument as well: this is that the "globalization" of economic and market entanglements among states has probably done as much to minimize the granting of citizenship and membership rights and privileges to individuals as it has done to extend it.

Thus, although with the onset of marketizing reforms in China in 1979 a myriad of new laws were written to suit an economy progressively more and more fully **engaged** in worldwide commercial relations,<sup>48</sup> even at the turn of the century the country continued to lack a legal system capable of governing a truly market-driven economy.<sup>49</sup> A pervasive rhetoric of rights, which does obtain, is rarely realized in practice, and defendants have often lost their cases before they begin.

As for the migrants, despite much discussion and debate, and even talk of fundamental reform, the basic features of the hukou policy itself hang on. A few notable alterations were the availability of a new, "temporary" household registration in the cities in the mid-1980's, the zhanzhuzheng;<sup>50</sup> a widespread resort to the sale of the urban hukou, on black markets by the late 1980's,<sup>51</sup> and, by the early 1990's, openly by city administrations themselves, in the form of a "blue hukou"--but only affordable for those who have amassed a fair amount of wealth and who are thus no longer living at **WORD OMITTED** subsistence level<sup>52</sup>; and, most lately, an important provision passed by the State Council in summer 1998, permitting permanent residence rights to be accorded "qualified investors" and to an urban citizen's spouse, parents, and children.<sup>53</sup>

But ongoing reluctance to eliminate the household registration system entirely, with its so unequal granting of citizenship rights, even in the face of widespread marketization, seems to signify that there may be at the root a persisting and even heightening official paranoia in the face of moving peasants. This appears to be the case in that, beginning in 1995, the issue of the floating population was termed:

No longer a question of the transfer of surplus rural labor, but a major economic and political issue which has a direct bearing on economic development and social stability.

That was a formula that has been repeated thereafter on many occasions.<sup>54</sup> In one formulation, this stark statement of apprehension was, suggestively, combined with a warning that,

Infringements on the legitimate rights and interests of migrant workers and businesspeople are serious and signs of migrant workers becoming a source of trouble have appeared in some places.<sup>55</sup>

That statement explicitly links anxiety about public order to a movement toward legal rhetoric; it seems that this fear may have paired the two in leaders' minds.

Migrants themselves started to display a concern with rights and injustice--if mainly economic rights--almost as soon as they had made their way into the municipalities. One of the earlier manifestations was their feeling of unfairness over the blatant inequality they were forced to confront there. Journalists picked up the anger this engendered, much of it directed against the permanent population of the city. As an example:

The peasants and semi-peasants who enter the city feel comparatively deprived by the tightly locked city walls. Peasants coming in want to enjoy this fat meat with city people. When in the countryside, they feel that everyone is poor, so [their poverty] can be tolerated. But differences in wealth become obvious after entering the city. They feel, 'The more you city people look down on me, the more I oppose you.'<sup>56</sup>

With time, members of the floating population came to demand legal protection against the treatment they were receiving on the job, by remonstrating at public security stations and by calling in the press **at least as early as 1988** for the authorities to "please support our rights and interests [qing

weihu women quanyi].<sup>57</sup> In 1989 two roving journalists quoted a peasant informant who raised her lament to the level of the law:

"They say everyone is equal before the law," complained a glass seller bullied and beaten up by thugs sent by a native competitor in Lanzhou, then given little solace by the local police. "Why can't we outside peasant workers be equal too?" she seemed to be howling.<sup>58</sup>

In response to these feelings, organized agitation had already begun to appear by the mid-1980's, with the emergence of unauthorized unions and illicit strikes. By 1986, work stoppages and strikes were frequent among the temporary workers of the Shenzhen Special Economic Zone, home of a multitude of foreign-funded firms.<sup>59</sup> Issues of treatment, hours, contract violation, and unsafe working conditions often figured in the resistance, though by far the majority of grievances--as many as 86 percent--in at least one city where statistics on this were recorded, were about pay.<sup>60</sup> There were also reports that many of the strikes specifically had revenge as a motive, surely evidence **of feelings that** rights had been wronged.<sup>61</sup>

There were also scattered stories of **WORD OMITTED** migrant workers agitating for the right to form their own unions. For only the official All-China Federation of Trade Unions was recognized by the government, and its cadres were much more likely to suppress rather than to support signs of worker discontent,<sup>62</sup> while any other association of workers was automatically labelled illegal.<sup>63</sup> In one case employees in a joint-venture hotel in Shanghai attempted to set up their own organization in summer 1993.<sup>64</sup> In addition, some bold members of the floating temporary workers went ahead without permission **and created** unregistered unions of their own. These organs sprang up mainly at **foreign-invested, joint-venture** plants.<sup>65</sup>

By 1994, according to a survey undertaken among transient factory hands in the Pearl River Delta, at least 10 percent and sometimes as many as 61 percent of the workers in the firms there



expressed each of these demands: for a guarantee of basic livelihood; for higher wages; for improved livelihood conditions; for better working conditions; and for equality of various sorts.<sup>66</sup> And, remarkably, in an early 1995 survey of leavers in 318 villages, as many as 79 percent of the respondents admitted that they felt that they lacked any guarantee of their rights and interests [quanyi].<sup>67</sup> But in nearly all cases of worker protest that found voice in the printed media, attempts at relief met with varying degrees of repression or rejection. Given how easily these efforts to express and realize rights were generally squelched,<sup>68</sup> it seems to fair to judge that even as of the year 2000 the discourse of rights--for urban workers and rural farmers, as well as for peasants sojourning in cities--was fairly well developed, but distribution of such rights to them remained denied.

### **E. SOCIALIST SUPERSTRUCTURE AND CAPITALIST BASE**

Certainly since 1980 the Chinese politico-economy has been moving steadily further from the former, Maoist regime. Yet, with the continuing rule of the Communist Party, not only the repressiveness but also the values, alliances, and allegiances of that regime--the culture and politics of socialism--have proven far stickier, harder to outgrow or discard than have the material practices of the old planned economy. Ironically, the superstructure has outlived the base. Indeed, these socialist **behavioral and belief** patterns serve to enhance any impediments to migrants' welfare and rights introduced by the new market regime. These impediments, the residue of China's socialist past, make the plight of the excluded and legally unprotected even more serious in China than **it** would be from the impact of untrammelled market customs alone.

In particular, **behavioral** remnants from a couple of the central institutions the nation's rulers long ago installed for implementing their socialist system linger on, even as the **more material**

**dimensions of the institutions** weaken and atrophy. These institutions **include** the socialist-era legal system (or, one might say, the absence of one), recently revamped to appear more predictable, procedural, and just, but still quite unreliable; and, as we have seen, socialism's household registration system. The free-wheeling, free-market economic habits of today--the **capitalist** analogue of what I just referred to as "the **[socialist]** material practices of the old planned economy"--that make for **“efficiency,”** competitiveness, and **“flexibility”** are easily **enough** incorporated into a still authoritarian regime. But, to the contrary, the prior legal, management, and control systems of socialism are much more difficult to dislodge and replace than is the slower, more "comradely" and rigid workstyle of the past.

Under the reign of Mao Zedong, from 1949 to 1976, law was considered to be a "bourgeois" construct, inapplicable--at least in its Western incarnation--to a socialist society.<sup>69</sup> Nonetheless, China's often harsh socialist version was enshrined up until the Cultural Revolution, which began in 1966. With that movement, all legal institutions were dismantled for over a decade. But regardless of the many laws on the books today, the style of implementing them is yet quite reminiscent in many ways of the one under Mao. For mistreated migrant laborers, this means that the 1994 Labor Law and its promises of protection and inclusion are almost always honored only in the breach.<sup>70</sup> Thus, despite the attempt of the past two decades to bolster legality, authoritarian and lawless habits from the past persist.

The aspect of this relevant to my purposes here is twofold: first of all, migrant rural labor makes up the great bulk of the workforce in foreign-invested firms, especially those along the coast. There their willingness to toil under often seemingly intolerable circumstances effectively places these workers outside a rights regime of any kind. And secondly, as local urban managers, even in Chinese state firms, grew increasingly profit- and competition-conscious as the '90s wore on, they more and more turned to

the recruitment of peasants migrating into town, people who could safely be hired with lesser or no benefits than urban folk, and, with their impermanence in town and lesser education, they were seemingly less likely to struggle for legality.<sup>71</sup>

In addition, as the numbers of laid-off and idle urbanites mounted after the mid-1990's (partly for domestic economic reasons but also, arguably, in preparation for China's entry into the World Trade Organization), city officials bent on worker quietude in their domains clashed with firm managers hungry for the profits made possible by cost-cutting measures. For urban officials were demanding that higher-paid local city labor be privileged over peasants when hiring and firing occurred,<sup>72</sup> much as foreign migrant workers were pushed out of Southeast Asian communities in the midst of the late '90's financial crisis in that region.<sup>73</sup>

The manifestations of this bias are multifold: besides being let go with more arbitrariness than before, peasants-in-cities have not been encompassed within the regular rules of the contract system for city labor. And even a regulation that was to apply to them alone, which specified a three-to-five-year contract as the norm, was far from fully honored,<sup>74</sup> with many so-called contracts lasting under a year. Unemployment insurance is yet to apply to these workers,<sup>75</sup> nor does a national Reemployment Program aiming to place the furloughed city laborers.

Beginning in 1995, major cities such as Beijing and Shanghai began publicly requiring that certain occupations be reserved for city people (though repetitions of these demands a few years later raises questions about the extent of compliance they commanded).<sup>76</sup> Thus, rural migrants' now 40-plus-year-old lack of an urban hukou, or household registration, an institution established under socialism, continues to mark them as excluded noncitizens when they work in cities. In the words of a laid-off

Chinese worker--but which fit the urbanized farmers even more-- "Workers today suffer under both socialism and capitalism."<sup>77</sup>

## **F. CONCLUSION: MARKET AND LAW**

The final contradiction that the Chinese migrant worker brings to mind is one that may appear odd from a broader perspective. After all, it is often argued that the state is necessary in order for the market to operate effectively, to undergird contracts, enforce regulations, and standardize procedures, thereby easing transaction costs, as well as to offer predictability and stability to those in business. Surely the state is best equipped to provide these services dependably where a reliable legal infrastructure guides its moves in these regards.

In the case of the People's Republic, however, market and law (in the modern, Western sense) arrived on the scene more or less in tandem, both of them subsisting to this day in their most infantile versions. This means that neither can nascent legal institutions truly govern market transactions, nor, until the law develops much further, can the marketplace mature into a site of safety. Migrants ill-treated and excluded economically cannot call upon a calculable politics or a stable law to bail them out.

So, since there is no commonly accepted, legitimized, statutory structure in China that could support the "strangers" in its cities--persons who, oddly enough, are ethnically identical to those who reject them--the "floating population" from the country's farms is indeed challenged. But at the same time, in its very essence as a social form, it furnishes both the state and Chinese urban society with contradictions to resolve--between nationalism and localism; citizenship and outcast; community and officialdom; rhetoric and reality when it comes to rights; the socialist superstructure and the capitalist base--and so it thereby challenges the state and its more privileged city people as well.

---

<sup>1</sup> See my book, *Contesting Citizenship in Urban China: Peasant Migrants, the State, and the Logic of the Market* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999), pp. 36-39.

<sup>2</sup> Cheng Tiejun and Mark Selden, "The Origins and Social Consequences of China's Hukou System." *China Quarterly*, no.139 (1994), pp.644-68; Lynn T. White, *Careers in Shanghai* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1978), p.90.

<sup>3</sup> Zhang Qingwu, "Basic Facts on the Household Registration System." *Chinese Economic Studies*, vol.22, no.1(1988), pp.96-97.

<sup>4</sup> Kam Wing Chan, *Cities With Invisible Walls: Reinterpreting Urbanization in Post-1949 China* (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press, 1994), p.76; Wang Feng, "Invisible Walls Within Cities: Migration and the Emergence of a Dual Society in Urban China," (paper prepared for Conference on Social Consequences of Chinese Economic Reform, Harvard University, May 23-24, 1997), pp.5-6.

<sup>5</sup> Andrew G. Walder, *Communist Neo-Traditionalism: Work and Authority in Chinese Industry* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1986), p.36.

<sup>6</sup> For relevant documents, see Lu Feng, "The Origins and Formation of the Unit (Danwei) System," *Chinese Society & Anthropology*, vol.25, no.3 (1993), p.68.

<sup>7</sup> John P. Emerson, "Employment in Mainland China: Problems and Prospects", in U. S. Congress, Joint Economic Committee (ed), *An Economic Profile of Mainland China* (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1967), vol.2, p.422; and *idem*, "The Labor Force of China, 1957-80", in U.S. Congress, Joint Economic Committee (ed), *China Under the Four Modernizations: Part I* (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1982), p.242. This latter article cites Deng Xiaoping as having admitted to "more than 20 million" thrown out of cities between 1959 and 1961.

<sup>8</sup> R.J.R.Kirkby, *Urbanisation in China: Town and Country in a Developing Economy, 1949-2000 A.D.* (London: Croom Helm, 1985), pp.27,49.

<sup>9</sup> Sulamith Heins Potter and Jack M. Potter, *China's Peasants: The Anthropology of a Revolution* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), pp.296-310.

<sup>10</sup> Gong Xikui, "Zhongguo xianxing huji zhidu toushi" [A Perspective on China's Present Household Register System], *Shehui kexue* [Social science], no.2(1989), p.32.

---

<sup>11</sup> Zhongguo nongcun laodongli liudong yu zhuanyi ketizu [Chinese rural labor forces' mobility and transfer study group], "Zhongguo nongcun laodongli jiuye xiankuang ji fazhan qingjing yanjiu" [Investigation of China's Rural Labor Forces' Employment Situation and Development Prospects], Nongcun wenti [Rural issues],vol.12,no.7(1989),12-20.

<sup>12</sup> "Huji yanjiu" ketizu ["Household Registration Research" Task Group], "Xianxing huji guanli zhidu yu jingji tizhi gaige" [The Present Household Registration Management System and Economic System Reform], Shanghai shehui kexueyuan xueshu jikan [Shanghai Academy of Social Science Academic Quarterly],no.3(1989),p.85.

<sup>13</sup> Solinger, op. cit.,Ch.4.

<sup>14</sup> Honig, Emily, Creating Chinese Ethnicity: Subei People in Shanghai, 1850-1980 (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1992),Ch.1; idem., "Regional Identity, Labor, and Ethnicity in Contemporary China", in Elizabeth J. Perry (ed), Putting Class in Its Place: Worker Identities in East Asia (Berkeley: Institute of East Asian Studies, University of California, 1996),p.241.

<sup>15</sup> G. William Skinner, "Mobility Strategies in Late Imperial China: A Regional Systems Analysis", in Carol A. Smith (ed), Regional Analysis,vol.1 (New York: Academic Press, 1976),p.335.

<sup>16</sup> Wong Siu-lun, Emigrant Entrepreneurs: Shanghai Industrialists in Hong Kong (Hong Kong: Oxford University Press, 1988),p.110.

<sup>17</sup> G. William Skinner, "Introduction: Urban Social Structure in Ch'ing China",in G. William Skinner (ed), The City in Late Imperial China, (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1977),p.544.

<sup>18</sup> Susan Naquin and Evelyn S. Rawski, Chinese Society in the Eighteenth Century (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1987),p.47.

<sup>19</sup> William T. Rowe, HANKOW: Commerce and Society in a Chinese City 1796-1889 (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1984); David Strand, Rickshaw Beijing: City People and Politics in the 1920s (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1989); Gail Hershatter, The Workers of Tianjin, 1900-1949 (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1986); Elizabeth J. Perry, Shanghai on Strike: The Politics of Chinese Labor (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1994); and Bryna Goodman, Native Place, City, and Nation: Regional Networks and Identities in Shanghai, 1853-1937 (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1995).

<sup>20</sup> F.W. Mote, "The Transformation of Nanking, 1350-1400", in G. William Skinner (ed), The City in Late Imperial China (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1977),pp.102, 103, 114, and 117; and Myron Cohen, "Cultural and Political Inventions in Modern China: The Case of the Chinese 'Peasant'", Daedalus,vol.122,no.2(Spring 1993),p.156.

---

<sup>21</sup> Myron L. Cohen, *House United, House Divided: The Chinese Family in Taiwan* (New York, Columbia University Press, 1976).

<sup>22</sup> Cohen (1993),pp.153,156.

<sup>23</sup> Jinhong Ding and Norman Stockman, "The floating population and the integration of the city community: A survey on the attitudes of Shanghai residents to recent migrants", in Frank N. Pieke and Hein Mallee (eds), *Internal and International Migration: Chinese Perspectives* (Surrey, England: Curzon, 1999),p.120.

<sup>24</sup> *Ibid.*,pp.123-25.

<sup>25</sup> Wang Yanling, Wu Yekang, and Jiang Jianping, "Cong xue di jiaoxunzhong dedao qishi" [Get Enlightenment from a Bloody Lesson], *Shehui* [Society],no.8,(1990),p.2.

<sup>26</sup> Zhang Youren, "Zunzhong `waidiren' yingdang chengwei shehui gongde" [Respecting `Outsiders' Should Become Social Public Morality], *Shehui*,no.6(1987),p.25.

<sup>27</sup> Wang Jianmin and Hu Qi, "Zhongshi tiaojie wailai liudong renkou jiekou di duice yanjiu" [Research on Policy Measures to Regulate the Structure of the Floating Population from Outside]. *Zhongguo renkou kexue* [Chinese population science],no.6(1988),p.72.

<sup>28</sup> Liu Bingyi, "Liudong di `shimin'" [Floating `City People'], *Qing Chun* [Youth],no.6(1989),p.32.

<sup>29</sup> Interview in Tianjin, June 8, 1992.

<sup>30</sup> Gong, *op. cit.*; see also Michael Dutton, "Editor's Introduction", in Zhang Qingwu, "Basic Facts on the Household Registration System," *Chinese Economic Studies*,vol.22,no.1(1988),p.8; Rogers Brubaker, *Citizenship and Nationhood in France and Germany* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1992),p.24. In *ibid.*,p.180 he lists as crucial elements of citizenship "not only political rights but the unconditional right to enter and reside in the country, complete access to the labor market, and eligibility for the full range of welfare benefits."

<sup>31</sup> This comes from Solinger, *op. cit.*,p.4.

<sup>32</sup> Solinger, *op. cit.*,p.6.

<sup>33</sup> Turner, "Contemporary Problems,"p.2.

<sup>34</sup> Yasemin Nuhoglu Soysal, *Limits of Citizenship: Migrants and Postnational Membership in Europe* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994), p.119; Elizabeth Meehan, *Citizenship and the European*

---

Community (London: SAGE Publications: 1993),p.22; Brubaker, *op. cit.*, p.21; and Zig Layton-Henry, "The Challenge of Political Rights", in Zig Layton-Henry (ed), *The Political Rights of Migrant Workers in Western Europe* (London: SAGE Publications, 1990),p.12.

<sup>35</sup> Solinger, *op. cit.*,p.7.

<sup>36</sup> Constitution of the People's Republic of China (Adopted on December 4, 1982 by the Fifth National People's Congress, Fifth Session (Beijing: Foreign Languages Press, 1982),p.31.

<sup>37</sup> Solinger, *op. cit.*,Chs.5 and 6.

<sup>38</sup> This is a district in Zhejiang province, from several counties of which most migrants of that province originate. For a study of the social relations in Beijing's now famous "Zhejiang Village," see Li Zhang, *Strangers in the City* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, forthcoming); and Frank N. Pieke and Hein Mallee (eds), *Internal and International Migration: Chinese Perspectives*, (Surrey: Curzon, 1999), for case studies of the migrants from that region.

<sup>39</sup> Of course, as Li Zhang shows in *op. cit.*, the personal charisma possessed by some migrant leaders, raw power, and wealth also play a role in ordering these local sub-societies.

<sup>40</sup> These impressions are ones I gleaned during several unpleasant encounters with such bosses at worksites, in Nanjing, May 19, 1992 and in Wuhan, August 10, 1994. Yuan Yue et al., *Luoren--Beijing liumin di zuzhijia zhuangkuang yanjiu baogao* [The exposed--a research report on the condition of the organization of migrants in Beijing] (Beijing: Beijing Horizon Market Research and Analysis Company, 1995),p.47 concurs.

<sup>41</sup> Chai Junyong, "Liudong renkou--chengshi guanli di yi da kunrao" [The Floating Population--The Puzzle in Urban Management], *Shehui*,n.10 (1990),p.9; *China Daily*, July 30, 1991,p.6; Zeng Jingwen, "Dadushi di `shihuangzhe'" [Big Cities' `Trash Collectors'], *Nanfang Chuang* [Southern Window] (Guangzhou),no.1 (1988),p.24; Liu Hantai, *Zhongguo di Qigai Qunluo* [China's Beggar Community] *Wenhui Yuekan* [Encounter Monthly] (Shanghai),no.10 (1986),pp.198,205-10; Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS), October 17, 1994,p.80 (from *Zhongguo xinwenshe* [Chinese news agency]); and interview, June 10, 1992, with a cadre from Tianjin's public security office.

<sup>42</sup> My information about these latter two kinds of groupings comes mainly from Yuan et al., *op. cit.*.

<sup>43</sup> Much of the following comes from Solinger, *op. cit.*,Conclusion.

<sup>44</sup> For a small but critical segment of the literature on globalization in recent years, see Suzanne Berger and Ronald Dore, eds., *National Diversity and Global Capitalism* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1996); James H. Mittelman, ed., *Globalization: Critical Reflections* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 1996); William Greider, *One World Ready or Not: The Manic Logic of Global Capitalism* (New York:



---

Simon & Schuster, 1997); Philip Gummett, ed., *Globalization and Public Policy* (Cheltenham, U.K.: Edward Elgar, 1996); Dani Rodrik, *Has Globalization Gone Too Far?* (Washington, D.C.: Institute for International Economics, 1997).

<sup>45</sup> Yasemin Nuhoglu Soysal, *Limits of Citizenship: Migrants and Postnational Membership in Europe* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994), pp.3,12.

<sup>46</sup> James F. Hollifield, *Immigrants, Markets, and States: The Political Economy of Postwar Europe* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1992), pp.170,216; there is a similar argument made in Wayne A. Cornelius, Philip L. Martin, and James F. Hollifield, "Introduction: The Ambivalent Quest for Immigration Control," in Wayne A. Cornelius, Philip L. Martin, & James F. Hollifield (eds), *Controlling Immigration: A Global Perspective* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1995), pp.3-41.

<sup>47</sup> David Jaobson, "New Border Customs: Migration and the Changing Role of the State," *UCLA Journal of International Law and Foreign Affairs*, vol.3, no.2 (Fall/Winter 1998-1999), p.453; also see idem., *Rights Across Borders: Immigration and the Decline of Citizenship* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996).

<sup>48</sup> Pitman B. Potter, "Riding the Tiger: Legitimacy and Legal Culture in Post-Mao China," *China Quarterly*, no.138 (1994), pp.325-58; and Pitman B. Potter (ed), *Domestic Law Reforms in Post-Mao China* (Armonk, NY: M. E. Sharpe, 1994).

<sup>49</sup> This is an argument in Stanley B. Lubman's new book on the law, *Bird in a Cage: Legal Reform in China After Mao* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2000).

<sup>50</sup> See Michael R. Dutton, *Policing and Punishment in China: From Patriarchy to "The People"* (N.Y.: Cambridge University Press, 1992), p.336.

<sup>51</sup> Anthony Kuhn and Lincoln Kaye, "Bursting at the Seams," *Far Eastern Economic Review*, 10 March 1994, pp.27-8, and Ding Shuimu, "Xianxing huji guanli zhidu chuyi" [A Preliminary View of the Present Household Registration Management System], *Shehui*, no.1 (1987), p.19.

<sup>52</sup> For instance, Cao Jingchun, "Lanpi lanyin hukou yinfa di sikao" [Some Deliberations on the Blue Seal Household Registration Permit] *Renkou yu jingji* [Population and economy] no.5 (1993), pp.38-42; and Ma Chenguang, "Cities Set Looser Rules on Residency," *FBIS*, March 4, 1994, p.22, from *China Daily* of the same date, p.3.

<sup>53</sup> *China Daily Business Weekly*, 6 September 1998, p.1.

<sup>54</sup> For instance, Vice Premier Wu Bangguo voiced it at a meeting in early 1996. See Huang, Haixia, "How to Ensure the Orderly Flow of the Rural Surplus Labor Force?--Interviewing Labor Minister Li Boyong," *Liaowang*, no.13 (1996), p.30, in FBIS, June 5, 1996, pp.30-31.

---

<sup>55</sup> Liaowang,no.30(1995),pp.4-5, in FBIS, August 8, 1995,p.14.

<sup>56</sup> Tang Xiaotian and Chen Donghu,"Qiangxing jiju yu chengshi shehui fazui" [Forced Residence Away from Home and Urban Society's Criminals] Shehui,no.9 (1989),p.20.

<sup>57</sup> Nanfang ribao [Southern Daily] (Guangzhou), December 14, 1988,p.2.

<sup>58</sup> Ge Xiangxian and Qu Weiyong, Zhongguo Mingongchao: "Mangliu" Zhenxianglu [China's Tide of Labor: A Record of the True Facts about the "Blind Floaters"] (Beijing: Chinese International Broadcasting Publishing Co., 1990),p.103.

<sup>59</sup> FBIS, August 23, 1988,p.41, from Beijing Domestic Service, August 19, 1988.

<sup>60</sup> The "defensive" nature of these strikes bears out the point made in Perry, *op. cit.*,pp.60ff., that unskilled workers (in that study, in late 19th and early 20th century Shanghai) tend to strike over purely economic grievances, and do not raise political demands.

<sup>61</sup>          FBIS, March 31, 1994,p.27, from Eastern Express, March 31, 1994, 9.

<sup>62</sup> According to a report from 1994, "Workers are discriminated against by official unionists." This is from an interview with a researcher at the Trade Union Education Center in Hong Kong, who spoke on the basis of his own experience with these workers in China, and reported in South China Morning Post, June 14, 1994,p. 5, reprinted in FBIS, June 14, 1994,p.31. See also Ching-kwan Lee, "Production Politics and Labour Identities: Migrant Workers in South China," in Lo Chi Kin, Suzanne Pepper, and Tsui Kai Yuen (eds), China Review 1995 (Hong Kong: The Chinese University Press, 1995),pp.15.12.

<sup>63</sup> Willy Wo-Lap Lam, "Party Circular Warns of Spread of Illegal Trade Unions," South China Morning Post, June 4, 1996,p.1, in FBIS, June 5, 1996,p.20; Lee, *op. cit.*,p.15.14.

<sup>64</sup> South China Morning Post, July 9, 1993, reprinted in FBIS, July 9, 1993,p.25.

<sup>65</sup> According to the South China Morning Post, June 14, 1994,p.5, reprinted in FBIS, June 14, 1994,p.31, though government and party officials' repression obstructed the formation of unions in Hong Kong and Taiwan-funded factories, migrant workers in joint ventures were more aggressive than those in the state-run firms.

<sup>66</sup> Ba jia <Nongxun laodongli liudong yanjiu> keti weituo nongyebu nongcun jingji yanjiu zhongxin ketizu bianji [Eight "Rural Labor Force Mobility Research" Tasks Commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture Rural Economic Research Center Task Groups (ed), Nongcun laodongli jingji yanjiu tongxun [Bulletin of Rural Labor Mobility Studies] (N.p., 1995),pp.A-02, A-07.

---

<sup>67</sup> Zhang Xiaohui, Zhao Changbao, and Chen Liangbiao, "1994: Nongcun laodongli kuaquyu liudong di shizheng miaoda" [1994: A Real Description of Rural Labor's Cross-Regional Flow], *Nanfang Chuang*,no.6 (1995),p.28.

<sup>68</sup> Anita Chan, "Briefing to the Australian/China Parliamentary Friendship Group," Canberra, September 12, 1996; and *idem.*, "The Changing Ruling Elite and Political Opposition in China," in Garry Rodan (ed), *Political Oppositions in Industrialising Asia*, (London and N.Y.: Routledge, 1996),p.178.

<sup>69</sup> Jerome Cohen, *The Criminal Process in the People's Republic of China, 1949-1963* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1968).

<sup>70</sup> This law was adopted on July 5, 1994, at the 8th Session of the Standing Committee of the 8th National People's Congress, translated in *FBIS*, July 19, 1994); Hilary K. Josephs, "Labor Law Reflects New Realities," *China Rights Forum*, (Fall 1996),pp.24-27.

<sup>71</sup> Sun Changmin, "Floating Population in Shanghai: A Perspective of Social Transformation in China," in Thomas Scharping (ed), *Floating Population and Migration in China: The Impact of Economic Reforms*, (Hamburg: Institut fur Asienkunde, 1997),p.211.

<sup>72</sup> Cao Jingxing, "A Good Trend or a Reason for Worry?" *China Focus*,vol.5,no.8(August 1997),p.8; Lin Lean Lim and Gyorgy Sziraczki, "Employment, Social Security, and Enterprise Reforms in China," in Gregory K. Schoepfle (ed), *Changes in China's Labor Market: Implications for the Future* (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, 1996),p.53; and Summary of World Broadcasts, FE/3162 (227/98),p.G/5 (from Xinhua, February 20,1998), for an official source.

<sup>73</sup> See David Lamb, "Migrant Asians Now Out of Work," *Los Angeles Times*, March 3, 1998,pp.A3,A9; and Margot Cohen, "Deport and Deter," *Far Eastern Economic Review*, April 23, 1998,pp.16-20.

<sup>74</sup> "Provisions on Employing Contract Workers from Among the Peasants by State-Owned Enterprises," in *Zhonghua renmin gongheguo guowuyuan gongbao* [Bulletin of the State Council of the Chinese People's Republic] (Beijing),no.28(October 18, 1991),pp.1001-16.

<sup>75</sup> Lim and Sziraczki, *op. cit.*,pp.64,61.

<sup>76</sup> *FBIS*, February 23, 1995,p.68; March 16, 1995,p.33; and June 28, 1995,p.81. See also Loraine A. West, "The Changing Effects of Economic Reform on Rural and Urban Employment," paper to be presented at "Unintended Social Consequences of Chinese Economic Reform" conference, Harvard School of Public Health and The Fairbank Center for East Asian Studies, Harvard University, May 23-24, 1997,p.11; and Xiao Lichun, "Shanghai shiye, xiagang renyuan xianzhuang ji fazhan qushi"

---

[Shanghai unemployment, laid-off personnel's situation and development trend] Zhongguo renkou kexue,no.3(1998),pp.26-37.

<sup>77</sup> Antoine Kernen, "Surviving Reform in Shenyang--New Poverty in Pioneer City," China Rights Forum, (Summer 1997),p.10.

In the three decades since the main period of independence in Africa, population distribution and redistribution through migration have remained important and widely recognized features of the population dynamics of the continent. Despite the continuing importance of the phenomenon, its status in the late 1980s and into the 1990s has largely remained as it was described by Prothero in 1968: the "Cinderella" of population studies. It is still not completely accepted as part of the inner family in demography (largely because of its "inferior" data and its variable and technically "soft" techniques). Population and migration. The world population is growing rapidly. Environmental and economic factors affect population density, distribution and structure. Migration has positive and negative effects on a country or area. In many developing countries, large numbers of people have moved from the countryside to the cities in recent years. This is called rural to urban migration. Why do people migrate? Money sent home by migrants. People of working age move out reducing the size of the country's potential workforce. Decreases pressure on jobs and resources. Gender imbalances are caused as it is typically men who seek to find employment elsewhere. In particular, migrants are especially vulnerable during a health emergency or disease outbreak and tailored approaches can be more effective than mainstream approaches. The paper advocates for the use of tailored interventions alongside the strengthening of universal health care to improve the health of migrants. Discover the world's research. 19+ million members. Access and Utilization of Immunization Services for Refugees and Migrants in the WHO European Region; WHO. Regional Office for Europe: Copenhagen, Denmark, 2017. 11. That migration is the most problematic of the population variables is taken as given. Unlike the unique events of birth and death that define an individual's lifetime, migration can be a multiple event. Its measurement depends entirely upon how it is defined in time and across space. the second to underestimating the volume of international migration, and the third to the developmental context in which the migration takes place. Clearly, the more populous the country, the greater the number of internal migrants, and in the large, populous countries, UNDP took the largest of administrative units, states in India or provinces in China, for example, to define internal migration. (60) "Internal Migrants and the Challenge of the 'Floating Population' in the PRC," in Arthur Rosett, Lucie Cheng and Margaret Woo, eds., *East Asian Law: Universal Norms and Local Cultures* (New York: Routledge/Curzon, 2002), 137-155. (61) "China Enters the WTO: The Death Knell for State-Owned Enterprises? Asia Program Special Report (Washington, D.C. The Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, June 2002). (62) "Globalization and Human Rights for Workers in China: Convergence or Collision?" in Mahmood Monshipouri, Neil A. Englehart, Andrew J. Nathan,