

## READING HEB 6:4-6 IN LIGHT OF THE OLD TESTAMENT

DAVE MATHEWSON

### I. Introduction

PERHAPS the most significant, yet perplexing, feature of the book of Hebrews is the so-called warning passages which dot its literary landscape (2:1-4; 3:7-4:13; 5:11-6:12; 10:19-39; 12:14, 29). While all of these warning passages have elicited a variety of commentary and discussion, Heb 6:4-6 has attracted most of the scholarly attention and remains one of the most puzzling and enigmatic for interpreters.<sup>1</sup> The bulk of attention devoted to these verses has focused on the issues of the precise identification of the status of those in vv. 4-5 and the nature of the sin they have committed in v. 6. Therefore, scholars continue to debate whether the subjects of the warning are genuine members of the faith community, who through falling away (v. 6) subsequently lose this status, or whether this falling away only results in the loss of rewards, or whether failure to persevere is evidence that the initial faith was not genuine in the first place, or whether the passage should be understood at a corporate level, addressing the covenant community

*Dave Mathewson is instructor in NT at Oak Hills Christian College, Bemidji, MN.*

<sup>1</sup> See the commentaries. Cf. also Herbert H. Hohenstein, "A Study of Hebrews 6:4-8," *CTM* 27 (1956) 433-44, 536-46; Philip Edgcumbe Hughes, "Hebrews 6:4-6 and the Peril of Apostasy," *WTJ* 35 (1973) 137-55; Roger R. Nicole, "Some Comments on Hebrews 6:4-6 and the Doctrine of the Perseverance of God with the Saints," in Gerald Hawthorne, ed., *Current Issues in Biblical and Patristic Interpretation* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1975), 355-64; D. Barnhart, "The Life of No Retreat: An Exegetical Study of Hebrews 6:1-12," *Central Biblical Quarterly* 19 (1976) 16-31; David Gilbert Peterson, "The Situation of the Hebrews (5:11-6:12)," *Reformed Theological Review* 35 (1976) 14-21; Leopold Sabourin, "'Crucifying Afresh for One's Repentance' (Heb 6:4-6)," *Biblical Theology Bulletin* 6 (1976), pp. 264-71; R. Schoonhoven, "The 'Analogy of Faith' and the Intent of Hebrews," in W. Ward Gasque and William Sanford Lasor, eds., *Scripture, Tradition and Interpretation: Essays Presented to Everett E. Harrison by His Students and Colleagues in Honor of His Seventy-fifth Birthday* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1978) 191-110; Verlyn D. Verbrugge, "Towards a New Interpretation of Hebrews 6:4-6," *CTJ* 15 (1980) 61-73; R. C. Sauer, "A Critical and Exegetical Reexamination of Hebrews 5.11 to 6.8" (unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of Manchester, 1981); William S. Sailer, "Hebrews 6: An Irony or Continuing Embarrassment?," *Evangelical Journal* 3 (1985) 79-88; Thomas Kern Oberholtzer, "The Thorn Infested Ground in Hebrews 6:4-12," *BSac* 145 (1988) 319-28; Scot McKnight, "The Warning Passages of Hebrews: A Formal Analysis and Theological Conclusions," *Trinity Journal* 13 (1992) 21-59; Wayne A. Grudem, "Perseverance of the Saints: A Case Study from Hebrews 6:4-6 and the Other Warning Passages in Hebrews," in Thomas R. Schreiner and Bruce A. Ware, eds., *The Grace of God, Bondage of the Will* (Grand Rapids: Baker, 1995), 133-82; David Brent Armistead, "The 'Believer' Who Falls Away: Heb 6:4-6 and the Perseverance of the Saints," *Stulos Theological Journal* 4 (1996) 139-46.

rather than individuals.<sup>2</sup> One of the most insightful studies to appear in recent years is the article by Scot McKnight, who surveys and interacts with a variety of approaches to the interpretation of this passage.<sup>3</sup> McKnight provides a fresh, detailed formal analysis of 6:4-6 and the other warning passages in Hebrews, suggesting that the warning passages, especially 6:4-6, should be read synthetically in relationship to one another rather than individually. Based on his analysis McKnight concludes that the warnings address the sin of apostasy, and that although believers experience the reality of salvation in the present, a failure to persevere to the end can result in the cessation of that reality.

In this article I do not wish to solve all the problems engendered by Heb 6:4-6. Rather, the purpose of the present article is to suggest a further element that has not yet sufficiently been considered in interpreting this section of Hebrews in hopes of providing fresh exegetical insight into understanding this puzzling passage. More specifically, I wish to propose reading Heb 6:4-6 in light of an OT background. In fact, I would contend that much misunderstanding of this section of Hebrews stems from a failure to appreciate its OT matrix.

Hermeneutically, one of the most significant observations for interpreting Heb 6:4-6 has been articulated by McKnight. As mentioned above, the warning passages in Hebrews should not be read in strict isolation from one another, as is frequently the case, but should be read synthetically.<sup>4</sup> McKnight helpfully suggests that formally each warning is comprised of four basic components that provide a basis for comparison with the other warnings: audience, sin, exhortation, and consequences.<sup>5</sup> Based on this observation, a key feature comes into play which points to a neglected element in interpreting 6:4-6. Scholars have frequently noticed that one of the common features of the warning passages in Hebrews is that each exhibits an OT example to illustrate the warning in question. The following comparison displays the warnings found in Hebrews along with the corresponding OT examples contained in each warning.

<i>Warning</i>	<i>OT Example</i>	
2:1-4	2:2 -	disobedience to the Mosaic law
3:7-4:13	3:16-19; 4:2 -	the failure at Kadesh-barnea
10:19-39	10:28 -	disobedience to the Mosaic law
12:14-29	12:16-17 -	the failure of Esau;
		12:25-26 -failure to listen to God's voice at Sinai.

<sup>2</sup> Cf. esp. McKnight, "Warning Passages;" Nicole, "Hebrews 6:4-6," *Current Issues*; Oberholtzer, "Hebrews 6:4-12;" Verbrugge, "New Interpretation," respectively.

<sup>3</sup> "Warning Passages."

<sup>4</sup> *Ibid.*, 22-23.

<sup>5</sup> *Ibid.*, 27-29. McKnight suggests the significance of this observation: "I will propose that a synthesis of each component as revealed in each warning passage provides clarity on the meaning of a given component in a single passage" (26).

Consequently, in addition to McKnight's enumeration of four components of *audience, sin, exhortation* and *consequences* which comprise the warning passages, I would suggest a fifth component: *OT example*.

The only exception to this pattern, however, appears to be 6:4-6. Thus, Paul Ellingworth observes that "This passage [5:11-6:12] is almost as remarkable for what it does not say as for what it does. Like 3:7-4:13, it contains only passing mention of Christ (6:1, 6), but unlike the earlier passage, it is *not based on any Old Testament passage either: The writer is appealing to his readers in his own words.*"<sup>6</sup> Philip Edgcumbe Hughes admits that "the calamitous history of the Israelites of old is repeatedly set before the readers as a warning against the imitation of their evil example (2:1f; 3:12ff.; 4:1f., 11; 10:28ff.; 12:25ff.) . . .,"<sup>7</sup> but Hughes does not include a reference to the warning in 5:11-6:12. In his detailed and thorough analysis of the warnings in Hebrews, McKnight makes no mention of any OT illustration in 6:4-6. The recent discussion of the OT background to Hebrews by R. T. France proposes that an exposition of Psalm 110 more broadly underlies Heb 5:5-7:28, although this does not help us arrive at an answer to the question of whether an OT illustration illuminates 6:4-6 in particular.<sup>8</sup> More recently, George H. Guthrie has discussed the use of the OT in Hebrews. Yet despite the extensive nature of Guthrie's list of OT parallels for Hebrews, no OT parallels are given for 6:4-6.<sup>9</sup>

However, I would propose that, like the other warnings in Hebrews, a specific OT example can also be detected in the warning of 6:4-6, and that this constitutes one of the keys to interpreting this warning. More specifically, behind 6:4-6 lies a reference to the wilderness generation and the Kadesh-barnea incident (cf. Numbers 13-14; Psalm 95) which featured prominently in the warning in 3:7-4:13. In a footnote in his insightful commentary on Hebrews, F. F. Bruce briefly entertained the possibility that in 6:4-6 "the wilderness narrative [the failure of the Israelites to enter Canaan] is still in our author's mind," although he fails to offer any substantiation for his brief

<sup>6</sup> *The Epistle to the Hebrews* (Epworth Commentaries; London: Epworth Press, 1991), 42, italics mine. See also the comments of Erich GraBer, who concludes that the writer describes the state of his readers "in eigener Terminologie" (*An Die Hebraer [Heb7: 1-6]*, EKK, XVIII/I [Benziger/Neukirchener, 1990] 347).

<sup>7</sup> *A Commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1977), 216.

<sup>8</sup> "The Writer of Hebrews as a Biblical Expositor," *TynBul* 47.2 (1996) 245-76. France's proposal is a modification of the suggestion of Richard N. Longenecker that Hebrews contains five extended expositions of Old Testament texts (Biblical Exegesis in the Apostolic Period [Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1975], 178-85). France postulates seven extended expositions: Ps 8:4-6 in Heb 2:5-18; Ps 95:7-11 in Heb 3:7-4:13; Ps 110 in Heb 5:5-7:28; Jer 31:31-34 in Heb 8:1-10:18; Hab 2:3c-4 (LXX) in Heb 10:32-12:3; Prov 3:11-12 in Heb 12:4-13; the Mount Sinai motif in Heb 12:18-29.

<sup>9</sup> "Old Testament in Hebrews," in Ralph P. Martin and Peter H. Davids, eds., *Dictionary of the Later New Testament and Its Developments* (Downers Grove/Leicester: Inter Varsity Press, 1997), 841-50. See the helpful chart of Old Testament references in Hebrews (846-49).

assertion or tease out the possible hermeneutical implications.<sup>10</sup> This present article will attempt to give further substantiation and shape to this suggestion and briefly explore some of the implications of reading this warning in light of this proposed OT background.

### *II. The OT Background for 3:7-4:13*

The exhortation articulated in 6:4-6 follows on the heels of a previous, lengthy warning embedded in chaps. 3-4; therefore this section requires brief analysis in order to provide the context for the ensuing discussion. In the second warning given in Heb 3:7-4:13 the Kadesh-barnea incident from Numbers 13-14 is recalled via Psalm 95 (94):7b-11, which the writer of Hebrews quotes in 3:7-11 and repeatedly recalls in 3:15; 4:3, 5, 7, as the basis for his exhortation to his readers not to become hardened to the promise of salvation.<sup>11</sup> According to the Numbers 14 narrative, the Israelites were camped at Kadesh-barnea, prepared to enter the land of Canaan which constituted the goal of their Exodus from Egypt (cf. Exod 3:8; 6:4; Num 13:1). However, because of unbelief and hard hearts the wilderness generation refused to enter the promised land, and consequently incurred God's wrath (Num 14:11-12). Psalm 95 recalls and interprets Israel's rebellion and unbelief in the wilderness from Numbers 14, an event which became paradigmatic of Israel's disobedience,<sup>12</sup> as a warning not to emulate the catastrophe at Kadesh-barnea. The writer of Hebrews appropriates Psalm 95 in order to place the same warning before the new covenant community not to rebel and refuse the promise of rest which lay before them as a present reality (cf. **Shmeron**, Heb 3:13; 4:7). According to Ceslas Spicq, the comparison between Israel under the Mosaic covenant and the new covenant community presupposes an exact correspondence between the successive generations of the people of God. . . . Israel and Christians exhibit a certain symmetrical relationship, as it were, designed by God. They are recipients of the same promises, they

<sup>10</sup> *The Epistle to the Hebrews* (NICNT; Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1964), 120 n. 38. See also implicitly Grudem, "Perseverance of the Saints," *The Grace of God*, 160-61.

<sup>11</sup> See William L. Lane, *Hebrews 1-8* (WBC, 47a; Dallas: Word Books, 1991), 84. Cf. also Peter Enns, "The Interpretation of Psalm 95 in Hebrews 3.1-4.13," in Craig A. Evans and James A. Sanders, eds., *Early Christian Interpretation of the Scriptures of Israel: Investigations and Proposals* (JSNTSS, 148; SSEJC, 5; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1997), 352-63; David A. deSilva, "Exchanging Favor for Wealth: Apostasy in Hebrews and Patron-Client Relationships," *JBL* 115 (1996) 91-116, who understands the warning in terms of a violation of a patron-client relationship, where the people's response to the blessings provided by the patron (God) was one of distrust and failure to fulfill the obligations of the relationship.

<sup>12</sup> See Lane, *Hebrews 1-8*, 85. Cf. Deut 1:19-35; Neh 9:15-17; Ps 106:21-27; CD 3:6-9; Ps-Phil, Bib. Ant. 15; 4 Ezra 7:106; I Cor 10:5-10. Psalm 95 also recalls Israel's rebellion at Meribah and Massah from Exod 17:1-7, although the climax of Israel's rebellion is the Kadesh-barnea incident from Numbers 14.

go through analogous trials, they are exposed to the same dangers of apostasy, they are exhorted to the same faithfulness, in identical terms.<sup>13</sup>

Thus, the relationship between the old and new people of God in Hebrews is a typological one, where the experience of the wilderness generation in Num 14 (cf. Ps 95) is recapitulated in and finds its climax in the situation of the new people of God, the new Israel, in Heb 3:7-4:13.<sup>14</sup> The story of the wilderness generation in the Mosaic era, then, becomes the story of the new community and the focal lens through which they are to view their experience. This assumption underlies the direct application of the Ps 95 text to the present community in Hebrews.<sup>15</sup> Further, that the wilderness generation plays a crucial role beyond 3:7-4:13 can be deduced from the fact that the tabernacle, rather than the temple, provides the predominant model for the author of Hebrews (8:5; 9:1-10),<sup>16</sup> and exodus typology is confirmed more broadly with the emphasis on the incident at Sinai (12: 18-21, 25, 29) and the comparison between Moses and Christ (3:1-6).

### III. *The OT Background to Heb 6:4-6*

Perhaps one of the basic reasons for the hesitancy to find an OT background for 6:4-6 is the propensity of scholars to focus attention principally on citations and explicit OT references. However, recent research into the use of the OT in the NT more generally has pointed to the importance of giving due attention to allusions and echoes and more implicit and subtle uses of Scripture.<sup>17</sup> For those whose ears are attuned to the OT, even a

<sup>13</sup> Ceslas Spicq, *L'Épître aux Hébreux* (Paris: Gabalda, 1953), 71-72. According to Spicq, "la comparaison personnelle Moïse-Jésus [3.1-6] se poursuit très normalement entre les Israélites et le peuple chrétien" (71).

<sup>14</sup> Enns, "The Interpretation of Psalm 95," *Early Christian Interpretation*.

<sup>15</sup> See also *Ibid.*, 352-53. For the typological relationship of the people of God in the Old and New Testaments more generally see L. Goppelt, *Typos* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1982). Moreover, in keeping with the typological nature of the analogy, the comparison between the wilderness generation and the new community in Heb 3:7-4:13 is *a fortiori* ("if... how much more"). In other words, if the wilderness generation incurred the wrath of God for refusal to enter the promised land under the Mosaic era, how much more will the people of God in the new era not escape God's wrath for refusal to appropriate God's promises as they stand on the verge of their fulfillment. This *a fortiori* logic clearly underlies 2:2-3; 10:28-29; 12:25.

<sup>16</sup> There has been some discussion over why the author appeals to the tabernacle rather than the temple for his primary model. While this could indicate that the temple is no longer standing when Hebrews was written (based on the recent work of Stanley E. Porter, *Verbal Aspect in the Greek of the New Testament, with Reference to Tense and Mood* [Studies in Biblical Greek I; New York: Peter Lang, 1989], it can no longer be maintained on the basis of the use of the present tense in the writer's description of the cultus that the temple is still standing), a better explanation emerges from the observation that the author employs the wilderness motif throughout Hebrews. Given the prominence of the wilderness motif the author has employed the wilderness tabernacle as his dominant model to depict God's dwelling place in the OT in order to provide a contrast to the heavenly tabernacle.

<sup>17</sup> See the discussions in Richard B. Hays, *Echoes of Scripture in the Letters of Paul* (New Haven & London, 1989); Brian S. Rosner, *Paul, Scripture and Ethics: A Study of 1 Corinthians 5-7* (AGJU,

single word or two can activate scriptural texts in the readers' memory. In addition to alluding to specific texts, authors can sometimes develop Old Testament concepts or themes which find expression in several OT texts.<sup>18</sup> According to William Lane, in Hebrews "Every chapter is marked by explicit or implicit references to the biblical text."<sup>19</sup> I would contend that the author's language in 6:4-6 is colored by OT references by means of allusion and echo apart from direct citation. Initial justification for finding OT influence behind 6:4-6, especially with reference to the wilderness generation, includes: 1) this era from the life of Israel has already played a prominent role in the exhortation of 3:7-4:13; 2) this aspect of Israel's life serves as a model throughout Hebrews more broadly; 3) as already observed, an OT illustration can be detected behind all the other major warnings in Hebrews.<sup>20</sup> Further substantiation comes from observing the linguistic and conceptual parallels in the descriptive phrases in 6:4-6 ("having once for all been enlightened," "having tasted the heavenly gift," "having become partakers of the Holy Spirit," "having tasted of the good word of God and the powers of the coming age") with descriptions of the wilderness generation found in the OT, associations which "bleed over" from 3:7-4:13 into 6:4-6.<sup>21</sup> Most

22; Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1994); Steve Moyise, *The Old Testament in the Book of Revelation* (JSNTS, 115; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1995). For recent treatments of "echo" and the literary concept of "intertextuality" see J. Hollander, *The Figure of Echo: A Mode of Allusion in Milton and After* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1981); Jonathan D. Culler, *The Pursuit of Signs: Semiotics, Literature, Deconstruction* (London and Henley: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1981), 100-18. According to the latter work behind intertextuality lies the assumption that any discourse is only intelligible with reference to a prior body of discourse "which it takes up, prolongs, cites, refutes, transforms" (101). The recent trend among those who advocate intertextual approaches has been to become reader-focused rather than author-focused. However, Hays offers a more balanced approach when he suggests that "a proposed interpretation must be justified with reference to evidence provided by the text's rhetorical structure and by what can be known through critical investigation about the author and original readers" (*Echoes of Scripture*, 28).

<sup>18</sup> See G. K. Beale, "Revelation," in D. A. Carson and H. G. M. Williamson, eds., *It is Written: Scripture Citing Scripture. Essays in Honour of Barnabas Lindars* (Cambridge: University Press, 1988), 325-26 on the thematic use of the OT in Revelation.

<sup>19</sup> *Hebrews 1-8*, cxv.

<sup>20</sup> For discussion of criteria for discerning OT influence cf. Hays, *Echoes of Scripture*, 29-32; Dale C. Allison, *The New Moses: A Matthean Typology* (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1993), 19-23; M. Thompson, *Clothed With Christ: The Example and Teaching of Jesus in Romans 12:1-15:13* (JSNTS, 59; Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1991), 15-36. For further methodological discussion see Stanley E. Porter, "The Use of the Old Testament in the New Testament: A Brief Comment on Method and Terminology," in *Early Christian Interpretation*, 79-96. On the other hand, it would be methodologically illegitimate to conclude that an OT illustration must lie behind 6:4-6 based on the fact that all the other warnings include one (kind of an 'argument from silence' in reverse). However, the very fact that all the other warnings include an Old Testament example at least invites the interpreter to explore the possibility of finding one in 6:4-6 as well.

<sup>21</sup> For the LXX as the text-form which underlies the author's use of the OT in Hebrews cf. the discussion in Paul Ellingworth, *Commentary on Hebrews* (NIGTC; Grand Rapids: Eerdmans/Carlisle: Paternoster, 1993), 37-42; Lane, *Hebrews 1-8*, cxvii-cxviii.

of the parallels to the statements in 6:4-6 can be discovered in Exodus and Numbers with their descriptions of the people as they traveled through the wilderness on their way to Canaan, as well as in Nehemiah 9 (esp. vv. 13-15, 19-21) and in related Psalms, where the history of God's dealing with Israel is rehearsed in somewhat extended fashion.<sup>22</sup>

### I. 6:4a

Commentators frequently draw attention to plausible NT parallels for the phrase "having once been enlightened," and several have suggested a baptismal reference for this description.<sup>23</sup> However, the following considerations and analysis suggest that more attention needs to be paid to the possible light that the OT might shed on the interpretation of this phrase. Given the prominence of the wilderness generation as a model for the author, the most important parallel is the light that God provided for the wilderness generation in the desert. According to Exod 13:21, as the Israelites traveled through the desert following their deliverance from Egypt, along with a pillar of cloud during the day, God provided them with a pillar of fire to enlighten their way at night. This specific event is recalled in Nehemiah 9 in a section in which the author recites what God did for his people on their trek from Egypt through the desert (v. 12), a section which offers several important linguistic and conceptual parallels to Heb 6:4-6. This event is also referred to in Ps 105 (104):39, which is situated in a catalogue of God's mighty actions on behalf of the Israelites. With this "wilderness generation" background in mind, it appears that this aspect of the Exodus narrative has provided a primary impetus for the author's conception here, a proposal that receives further corroboration when the subsequent statements in vv. 4-5 are examined. The author's reference to "enlightenment" here probably corresponds to 10:26: "we have received knowledge of the truth" (cf. v. 32).<sup>24</sup>

<sup>22</sup> Nehemiah 9 constitutes a prayer by the Levites which recites the history of Israel in terms of their apostasy. The idea behind Neh 9:16-25 is that God continues to sustain his blessings upon Israel despite their rebellion. Cf. David J. A. Clines, *Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther* (NCBC; Grand Rapids: Eerdmans/London: Marshall, Morgan & Scott, 1984), 195.

<sup>23</sup> See Brooke Foss Westcott, *The Epistle to the Hebrews* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1965), 148; Ellingworth, *Hebrews*, 320; Lane, *Hebrews 1-8*, 141. Cf. John 1:9, 12; 8:12; 2 Cor 4:6; Eph 5:8; Col 4:5; 2 Tim 1:10; I Pet 2:9; Rev 18:1. The earliest references for enlightenment as a reference to baptism are found in Justin, *Apol.* 61:12; *Dial.* 39:2; 122:1-2,6. Against a baptismal reference here cf. Hans Conzelmann, "**f wj kt l.**," *TDNT*; IX, 355; Hughes, *Hebrews*, 208; Hans Windisch, *Der Hebräerbrief* (HNT, 14; 2nd ed.; Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr [Paul Siebeck J, 1931] 51; Ellingworth, *Hebrews*, 320. Barnabas Lindars concludes: "Their minds were 'enlightened' by the teaching which culminated in baptism" (*The Theology of the Letter to the Hebrews* [Cambridge: University Press, 1991], 67).

<sup>24</sup> For "enlightened" as illumination from the Gospel see Lane, *Hebrews 1-8*, 141; Harold W. Attridge, *A Commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews* (Hermeneia; Philadelphia: Fortress, 1989), 169; Ellingworth, *Hebrews*, 320.

Heb 6:4b

**touj apac f wtisqentaj**

Neh 9: 12

**tou?f wtisai aufoj**

Neh 9:19

**f wtizein aufoj**

Psalm 105 (104):39

**pu? tou?f wtisai aufoj**

2. 6:4b

The second phrase in the repertoire of statements in 6:4-6, "having tasted the heavenly gift," also resonates with overtones from the wilderness incident. Although the verbal parallels are not as precise as the previous instance, for those whose ears were attuned to the OT background, this phrase, which occurs only here in the NT, would have recalled the manna which God provided from heaven for his people during their sojourn in the wilderness. According to Exod 16:4, God would rain bread down from heaven for the Israelites' sustenance in response to their grumbling over their perceived misfortune in comparison to what they had in Egypt (cf. 16:31, 33, 35; Num 11:7-9; Deut 8:3, 16). This provision of "heavenly bread" became important for subsequent articulations of God's intervention on behalf of his covenant people, and is explicitly recalled in the historical recital of Ps 105 (104):40. In rehearsing the events following the incident at Sinai, Neh 9 also draws on this description of heavenly bread which God gave to his covenant people (9:15; cf. v. 20). Further, along with the Nehemiah 9 reference, in Exod 16:15 and Ps 78 (77):24 the bread is described as something which the Lord gave (LXX **edwke[n]**) to his people to eat, suggesting that the bread is a divine gift. Moreover, according to later exegetical traditions there was an expectation of a second, eschatological provision of bread from heaven corresponding to God's provision in the past (2 Bar. 29:8; *Eccl. R.* 1:9; *Sib. Or.* 7:145).<sup>25</sup> Thus, along with the Exodus narrative, the retrospective lists noted above, which include mention of the provision of heavenly bread as a gift from God to the wilderness generation, provide plausible parallels to the writer's second statement in Heb 6:4, where the readers have "tasted the heavenly gift" in the age of eschatological fulfillment.<sup>26</sup> While the reference to "tasting" may suggest a Eucharistic setting,<sup>27</sup>

<sup>25</sup> See Johannes Behm, "**afoj**," *TDNT*, I, 477-78; Rudolf Meyer, "**Manna**," *TDNT*, IV, 463-65. This may be linked with the idea that the manna was hidden in the ark before the destruction of the temple, and that it would be revealed in the last days (cf. 2 Macc 2:4-7; 2 Bar 6:6-7).

<sup>26</sup> See also Wis 16:20: **kai etoimon a@ton ap boujanou? paresxej aufoj** . . . For the spiritual interpretation of the bread from heaven cf. also John 6:31, 32, 33, 41, 50, 51, 58, where the manna is interpreted as Jesus and issues in eternal life. Thus, Christ surpasses the OT manna given in the wilderness. Cf. also Rev 2: 17. Jewish teachers sometimes identified the manna as the Torah. cr. Philo, *Mut.* 253-63; *Mek. Exod* 13: 17.

<sup>27</sup> See G. Wesley Buchanan, *To the Hebrews* (AB, 36; Garden City: Doubleday & Company, 1972), 106, who thinks it refers to the communal meal.

this overlooks the metaphorical sense of the term which may simply indicate more broadly "the whole sum of spiritual blessings" experienced by the readers.<sup>28</sup>

Heb 6:4b

**geusamenouj te thj dwreaj  
thj epouraniou**

Exod 16:4

**a@ouj ek tou?ou?anou?**

Exod 16: 15

**o[a@toj o[e@wke Kurioj ufiñ f ageiñ**

Ps 78 (77):24

**a@ton ou?anou? e@wken au?oij**

Ps 105 (104):40

**a@ton ou?anou? eheplhsen au?ouj**

Neh 9:15

**a@ton ek ou?anou? e@wken au?oij**

### 3. 6:4c

Furthermore, with this proposed OT context still in mind, the author's third descriptive statement, "having become partakers of the Holy Spirit," sustains the continuous allusion to the experience of God's people in the wilderness. According to Neh 9:20, part of the experience of the people as they wandered in the wilderness was the reception of the gift of God's Spirit to instruct them (**sunetisai au?ouj**). This reference probably reflects Num 11:16-29, a text which contains several references to God's Spirit which rests upon certain members of the covenant people. Following the Israelites' departure from Sinai, in response to Moses' lament due to the grumbling of the people, in Numbers 11 God assures Moses that he will not have to carry the burden of the people alone (v. 17). Thus, God will take the Spirit which is upon Moses and place it upon the seventy elders of Israel who subsequently prophesied (11:17, 25). Further, both Eldad and Medad are singled out as recipients of the Spirit and they likewise prophesy (II:26). Along with the mention of the deliverance at the Red Sea, this reference to God's provision of the Holy Spirit finds its place in a recital of what God did for the Israelites in the prophetic literature in Isa 63:11c, where God set his Holy Spirit among the people in the days of Moses, most likely a recollection of the incident in Numbers 11 (cf. Hag 2:5). Within the broader context of Israel's wilderness experience the author's statement regarding the experience of becoming partakers of the Holy Spirit in Heb 6:4c, then, has been anchored in the OT conception of God's provision of the Holy Spirit for the wilderness generation. The readers of Hebrews have experienced the work of the Spirit in their midst, perhaps more specifically with reference to the gift of prophecy (cf. Num 11:26) and the "signs and wonders" which accompanied the proclamation of the Gospel and the in-breaking of the age to come (cf. 2:4; 6:5b).

<sup>28</sup> Bruce, *Hebrews*, 121. Likewise, Westcott, *Hebrews*, 148.

Heb 6:4c

**netoxouj genhqentaj  
pneumatoj agiou**

Neh 9:20

**to>pneuma sou to>agaqon edwka  
Isa 63:11  
o[qeij eh autoij] to>pneuma to>agion**

## 4. 6:5

The next descriptive phrase in 6:5 contains two expressions ("the good word of God;" "the powers of the coming age") which function as the dual object of the verb **geusamenouj**, a term which has already occurred in the second descriptive phrase in 6:4 in allusion to God's provision of bread from heaven for the wilderness generation. Although some commentators have pointed to the ostensible parallel in I Pet 2:3 (**eigeusasqe oti xrhstoj ol kurioj**), an allusion to Ps 34 (33):9,<sup>29</sup> as Ellingworth rightly notes, the language and respective contexts of 1 Peter (cf. Ps 34:9) and Hebrews are substantially different.<sup>30</sup> The concept of God's word being sweet to the taste is found several places in the OT (cf. Ezek 2:8; 3:1-3; Psalm 119 [118:34]). However, it is also plausible that the allusion to the bread from heaven which God provided the people in the wilderness and which featured in the second description above in 6:4 continues to influence the reference to the "tasting" here.

The referent of **rhn̄a qeou?** is probably the word which was preached to the covenant community and confirmed by signs and wonders in 2:1-4.<sup>31</sup> (The term **rhn̄a** is characteristic of the author of Hebrews, occurring three other times in 1:3, 11:3, and 12:19. This last reference is intriguing since it constitutes a reference to the word of God given to Moses at Sinai (cf. Acts 7:38: **logia zwnta**). In Exod 20:1 God speaks the words of the law to Moses, which Moses was subsequently commanded to communicate to the people (v. 22). According to the historical recital in Nehemiah 9, on Sinai God spoke to the people from heaven, giving them good commands (v. 13, LXX **ep̄tol aj agaqaj**). Moreover, as other commentators have suggested, linguistically, a closer parallel to Heb 6:5a exists in Josh 21 :45 (21 :43) and 23:14.<sup>32</sup> Both of these Old Testament texts provide statements which follow upon the conquest of the land of Canaan, and reaffirm that God has kept

<sup>29</sup> See R. McL. Wilson, *Hebrews* (NCBC; Grand Rapids: Eerdmans/Basingstoke: Marshall, Morgan & Scott, 1987), 111; Hughes, *Hebrews*, 209.

<sup>30</sup> Ellingworth remarks, "But the language of the present verse is too distinctive to suggest a direct reference to Ps 34; the common tradition is probably oral. Moreover, the situation in 1 Peter is that of 'babes' (2:2) coming from baptism and thereby taking their place for the first time (**nuñ**, v. 10) among God's people; whereas in Hebrews, the addressees are people who should be moving beyond spiritual infancy (5; 12), and are in danger of losing their place among God's people if they do not hold on" (*Hebrews*, 321).

<sup>31</sup> Ellingworth, *Hebrews*, 321. Ellingworth doubts that one can maintain a sharp distinction here between **logoj**; and **rhn̄a**. Cf. 12: 19. McKnight is mistaken to see the use of **rhn̄a** in 6:5 as one of the differences from 1 Pet 2:3, since 1 Pet 2:3 does not contain the term **logoj** (see "Warning Passages," 47)

<sup>32</sup> Cf. Grasser, *Die Hebraer*, 352; Hughes, *Hebrews*, 210 n. 54; Buchanan, *Hebrews*, 106-7.

all his promises in bringing the people into the promised land. Most likely, these references to the good words of God, including the words which were spoken by God at Sinai, provide the scriptural matrix for the author's assertion in Heb 6:5a.<sup>33</sup> Like the old covenant community, the new community addressed by the author of Hebrews have tasted the good word of God, the Gospel which has been preached to them within the context of the Christian community (cf. 2:4).

Heb 6:5a

**kal on geusanenouj qeou?rhna**

Josh 21:43 (LXX)

**pantwn twñ rhmatwn twñ kal wñ**

Josh 23:14

**panta taxhmata takala>**

Neh 9:13

**kai>htol aj aqaqaj**

The final descriptive phrase asserts that the readers have tasted the powers (**dunameij**) of the coming age (6:5b). Intratextually, the closest parallel to 6:5b is 2:4, where the message of salvation which was heard by the readers was testified by "signs, wonders and various miracles" (**shmeioj te kai> terasin kai>poikil ajj dunamesin**).<sup>34</sup> This same threefold expression occurs elsewhere in the NT in Acts 2:22 with reference to the verification of Christ and his message, and the fixed twofold form of the expression, **shmeia kai> terata**, characterizes the ministry of the apostles in Acts.<sup>35</sup> However, the principal scriptural background for the phrase in Heb 2:4 is the use of these terms in depicting the miraculous events surrounding the Exodus, especially since neglect of the Gospel in 2:3 is explicitly compared to disobedience to the Mosaic legislation which was given at Sinai (2:2). In the OT the epithet "signs and wonders" often carried specific semantic associations, being frequently associated with the events surrounding the Exodus and the wilderness generation (cf. Exod 7:3: **ta>shmeia>. . . kai>ta>terata**). According to Karl Hein Rengstorf, "When the OT speaks of God's signs and wonders . . . the reference is almost always to the leading of the people out of Egypt by Moses and to the special circumstances under which the people stood up to the passage of the Red Sea and in all of which God proved Himself to be the almighty and showed Israel to be His chosen people."<sup>36</sup> Moreover, "in the LXX the formula **shmeia kai>terata** . . . seems to be reserved for God's wonders in the days of Moses."<sup>37</sup> Thus, the "signs and wonders"

<sup>33</sup> Cf. Philo, *Fug.* 137-38.

<sup>34</sup> Ellingworth notes the close link between 2:1-4 and 6:4-6 (*Hebrews*, 142).

<sup>35</sup> See Acts 2:43; 4:30; 5: 12; 8: 13 (**shmeia kai>dunameij**); 14:3; 15: 12. Cf. Mark 13:22; John 4:48; Rom 15:19; 2 Cor 12:12; 2 Thess 2:9.

<sup>36</sup> Karl Heinrich Rengstorf, "**shmeion**," *TDNT*, VII, 216.

<sup>37</sup> *Ibid.*, 221. Cf. also O Hofius, "**shmeion**," *NIDNTT*, 2, 626-27. According to Hofius, the phrase "'signs and wonders' is found primarily in those texts which describe the time of Moses as a time of Yahweh's marvellous actions in history (Exod 7:3; cf. Deut 4:34; 6:22; 7:19; 29:2; Jer 32:20f.; Pss 78[77]:43; 105[104]:27; 135[134]:9; Neh 9: 10; also Bar 2: 11; Wis 10:16)" (627).

which accompanied and accredited God's speaking in the Gospel are seen in analogy to the "signs and wonders" which confirmed God's presence with and his speaking to his first covenant people.

It is this reference to the "signs and wonders" which accompanied God's activity in Egypt and beyond which grounds the writer's articulation of the experience of the powers of the age to come in the new covenant community in Heb 6:5b.<sup>38</sup> The employment of **dunamij** links 6:5 closely to 2:4, which is clearly patterned after Exodus events. Moreover, several OT texts which recall the events surrounding the Exodus depict those events with **dunamij** (Exod 7:4; Psg 66 [65]:3; 77 [76]: 15; cf. **dunasteia** in 78 [77]:4, 26; 106 [105]:8). Therefore, like the wilderness generation who experienced God's mighty acts and miraculous powers, (cf. Exod 7:3; Deut 11:3; Num 14:11,22; Psg 78:4, 11, 32, 43; 105:27; 106:21-22; cf. Acts 7:36), within the context of the new covenant community the subjects of Heb 6:5 have witnessed and experienced the miraculous powers of God, the in-breaking of the eschatological powers of the age to come (Heb 6:5b; 2:4).

Heb 6:5c

**dunameij . . . mełlontoj aiŋŋoj**

See Exod 7:3, 4; Num 14:11, 22;

Ps 66 (65):3; 77 (76):15;

78 (77):4, 26, 43; 106 (105):8;

cf. Acts 7:36; Heb 2:4

Following this extended description of the readers' experience in vv. 4-5, verse 6 describes the error that the readers are in danger of committing: **parapesontaj**. According to Lane, in the LXX this term refers to "a total attitude reflecting deliberate and calculated renunciation of God."<sup>39</sup> The potential danger facing the readers of Hebrews corresponds precisely to that which the wilderness generation faced.<sup>40</sup> The wilderness generation had experienced all these things (God's good word, provisions and miraculous powers), yet they responded in unbelief and rebellion (Num 14:11,22; Pss 95:8-9; 106:21-22; Heb. 3:16), and subsequently incurred God's wrath. Likewise the subjects of Heb 6:4-5 had experienced all these things (vv. 4-5) as members of the new covenant community, and now had rebelled and fallen away as their ancestors once did.

Cf. Acts 7:36 where the phrase is used of the miraculous events at Egypt, the Red Sea, and the forty years in the desert.

<sup>38</sup> Buchanan likewise sees the "powers of the age to come" here in 6:5b as a reference to the miracles which accompanied entry into the promised land (*Hebrews*, 107). However, Buchanan wrongly construes this as an entrance into the literal promised land in 6:5b.

<sup>39</sup> *Hebrews 1-8*, 142. Lane cites Ezek 20:27; 22:4; Wis 6:9; 12:2. According to McKnight, the "sin the author has in mind is a willful rejection of God and his Son" Jesus the Messiah, and open denunciation of God and his ethical standards" ("Warning Passages," 39). Elsewhere McKnight labels it as "apostasy" (42). Contra the view of Oberholtzer, "Warning Passages 3," 322-23.

<sup>40</sup> Cf. Spicq, *L'Épître*, 71-72. The wilderness generation and the present readers "sont exposés aux memes dangers d'apostasie" (72).

6. *Heb 6:7-8*

The allusion to the wilderness generation proposed above extends beyond vv. 4-6 to vv. 7-8. The situation envisioned in 6:4-6 is preceded by an explanation or illustration (**gar**) in vv. 7-8. The explanation here does not just draw on common agricultural imagery for illustrative purposes, but more specifically alludes to the OT, an observation significant for perceiving the function of these verses. The language of 6:7 clearly echoes the LXX of Deut 11:11.<sup>41</sup>

Heb 6:7: **gh?gar h[piou]sa ton ep[istat]h] e[rxomenon] poll[akij] u[st]on**

Deut 11:11: **gh? . . . ek tou[st]e[rou] tou[st]ou[st]anou[st]ietai**

Essentially, the context of Deut 11 is the affirmation of God's requirements for his people if they are, to enjoy blessings in the land they are about to enter. The description inv. 11 of the land that drinks rain is descriptive of the promised land (**gh?**). But the enjoyment of blessings in the land and continual rain to cause the land to produce is conditioned upon the stipulation of the people's obedience (vv. 13-15). Conversely, failure to obey God's commandments will result in the rain being withheld, and the people will perish (vv. 16-17). This is all part of the covenantal blessing (**eulogian**) and cursing (**kataran**) motif which pervades this entire section (v. 26). Moreover, the broader context of Deuteronomy 11 suggests the appropriateness of an allusion to 11:11 in Heb 6:7-8. The covenantal blessing and cursing on the land is placed within the context of the Exodus from Egypt and the subsequent trek through the wilderness. In Deut 11:2-7, the generation which stands on the verge of entry into the promised land is, in solidarity with their ancestors, enjoined to remember the events they experienced surrounding the Exodus out of Egypt (vv. 2-4), as well as what God did for them in the wilderness (v. 5), as a basis for obedience and subsequent blessing on the land. Therefore, the allusion to Deut 11:11 in Heb 6:7-8 continues the wilderness generation motif developed in this section.

The clear allusion to Deut 11:11 in Heb 6: 7 and the mention of both blessing (**eulogiaj**, v. 7) and cursing (**kataraj**, v. 8) would evoke in the readers' canonical memory the covenantal blessing and cursing from Deuteronomy 11 (cf. v. 26).<sup>42</sup> The "land which drinks rain" in 6:7 resumes the divine provisions experienced by the covenant community in vv. 4-6. Like the people in Deut 11 who witnessed divine provisions during the Exodus and the time of wilderness wandering and will now experience God's provision in the land, the readers of Hebrews 6 have experienced the blessings of vv. 4-6 by virtue of belonging to the new covenant community. Those members of the

<sup>41</sup> Cf. also Isa 5:1-7. Verbrugge argues that the imagery in Heb 6:7-8 stem from Isa 5:1-7 ("New Interpretation"). However, the parallels he adduces are inexact, and in any case are not as close verbally and contextually as Deut 11:11. For critique of Verbrugge's proposal see McKnight, "Warning Passages," 53-54.

<sup>42</sup> Cf. Lane, *Hebrews 1-8*, 143.

new covenant community who experience the things articulated in vv. 4-6 and produce fruit of faith and obedience will receive blessing from God, which corresponds to the covenantal blessing on the land in Deut 11:13-15, 27.<sup>43</sup> Conversely, those who experience the same things by virtue of participating in the covenant community but fail to appropriate these blessings and obey will be cursed, corresponding to the covenantal curse in Deut 11:16-17, 28.<sup>44</sup> However, for the new covenant community the promises of physical blessing and cursing on the land have been spiritualized to refer to ultimate salvation or judgment. The context of entry into the land (**gh?** that drinks rain in Deuteronomy 11 also provides a further connection with Heb 3:7-4:13, where the warning against failure to enter God's rest was based on the failure of the wilderness generation to enter rest in the promised land (Numbers 14; Psalm 95). The allusion to Deut 11:11 in Heb 6:7-8, then, sustains the author's allusion to the wilderness generation developed in chaps. 3-4. More significantly, the clear allusion to Deut 11:11 in vv. 7-8 places the allusions/echoes from the wilderness generation proposed for vv. 4-6 on firmer footing.

*IV Implications of the Old Testament Background for Interpreting  
Heb 6:4-6*

The preceding analysis has attempted to show contextually and linguistically that the Old Testament experience of the wilderness generation and the incident at Kadesh-barnea provide the model for the author's depiction of the subjects of Heb 6:4-6, and that such an analysis yields important semantic results. While perhaps none of the proposed allusions are entirely convincing on their own, when taken together the cumulative evidence and the clear allusion to Deut 11:11 in Heb 6:7 provide a compelling case for reading 6:4-6 in light of the proposed Old Testament background. Thus, the momentum from the use of this illustration in 3:7-4:13 has carried over into the author's statements in 6:4-6.

The author's primary "intertextual" quarry is the narrative accounts from Exodus and Numbers 13-14, overlaid with the lists from Nehemiah 9 and Psalms which recount what God did on behalf of his people. While a case could be made for finding clear allusions to specific Old Testament texts in some of the descriptions in Heb 6:4-6 (vv. 4a, 5, 7), several other instances seem to exhibit less precise verbal correspondences, but are allusions to concepts or themes found in several texts, while nevertheless carrying meaning from the OT into their new context (vv. 4b, 4c, 6).

What semantic effects are produced by the author's allusion to and echo of the Israelites' wilderness saga? In other words, what difference does discerning the scriptural substructure of Heb 6:4-6 make in actually reading

<sup>43</sup> The **tiktousa botanhn** in v. 7b also reflects the **gh? . . botanhn** of Gen 1:11.

<sup>44</sup> The **alkanqaj kai-triboluj** in v. 8 also reflects the **alkanqaj kai-tribolouj** of Gen 3:17.

this passage? Through influence of OT descriptions of the wilderness generation, the author intends for the readers to perceive their situation in light of this precursor event in Israel's history. One of the important ways in which OT allusions and echoes function is to create a conceptual or semantic grid through which reality is perceived. According to Michael Fishbane, the Exodus event "became a lens of historical perception and anticipation."<sup>45</sup> Thus, the author of Hebrews defines the readers' situation in terms of the experience of the Israelites as they wandered in the wilderness on their way to the promised land. Like their OT counterparts, the audience of Hebrews is also on a pilgrimage to the promised land and stands on the threshold of the fulfillment of God's promises. In typological analogy to the old covenant community, the subjects of vv. 4-5 have also experienced God's goodness and blessings: they have "been enlightened," have "tasted the heavenly gift," have become "partakers of the Holy Spirit," have "tasted the good word of God and the powers of the coming age" (Heb 6:4-5), all of which they have experienced by virtue of belonging to the covenant community.<sup>46</sup> However, like their OT predecessors, they have fallen away (v. 6) and have come under the covenantal curse (vv. 7-8), having experienced the blessings of the new covenant inaugurated by Christ. Rhetorically, the OT language in this unit functions to dissuade the readers from following the same course of action as their old covenant counterparts.

Similar to the comparison in Heb 2:1-4; 3:7-4:13 the argument in 6:4-6 is implicitly *a fortiori*. If the wilderness generation committed a grave error by experiencing all these blessings under the old covenant and then rebelling in unbelief, how much more serious is the situation for those who in the new era of fulfillment experience all these things in 6:4-5 and then fall away (vv. 6, 7-8). Consequently, the author is not just alluding to snippets of texts and isolated vocabulary for rhetorical color, but by alluding to texts which belong to a larger matrix of ideas he is evoking the entire context and story of Israel's experience in the wilderness. In this way the story of the old covenant community becomes the story of the new covenant community as they live in the era of the fulfillment of God's new covenant promises.<sup>47</sup> The description in 6:4-6, then, is not just of an isolated Christian experience, rather, it is to be understood against the background of Israel's wilderness experience as members of the covenant community. In light of this, it is

<sup>45</sup> Michael A. Fishbane, *Text and Texture: Close Readings of Selected Biblical Texts* (New York: Schocken, 1979), 121. Cf. also N. T. Wright, *The New Testament and the People of God* (London: SPCK, 1992), 36 for discussion of the way communities perceive reality through a grip of expectations: "Every human community shares and cherishes certain assumptions, traditions, expectations . . . which encourage its members to construe reality in particular ways, and which create contexts within which certain kinds of statements are perceived as making sense" (36).

<sup>46</sup> Although the proposal of Verbrugge ("New Interpretation") that 6:4-6 concerns not individuals but the covenant community is probably to be rejected (see McKnight, "Warning Passages," 53-54), he has rightly highlighted the communal dimension of these verses.

<sup>47</sup> See Sylvia C. Keesmaat, "Paul and His Story: Exodus and Tradition in Galatians," in *Early Christian Interpretation*, 319-20.

possible that the descriptions in vv. 4-5 are not to be pinned down to precise referents as most commentators attempt to do, but all refer more generally to the experience of the people in hearing the Gospel and experiencing the blessings of the new covenant within the context of the new covenant community.<sup>48</sup> What the readers have experienced as part of the new Exodus community is to be interpreted in terms of what the first Exodus generation experienced on their way to the promised land.

More importantly, the above analysis sheds some valuable light on the vexing question of the status of those envisioned in Heb 6:4-6. After analyzing the statements in vv. 4-6, McKnight confidently concludes that "[i]f the author is accurate in his description of the readers' experience, then we can only say that they are believers-true believers."<sup>49</sup> However, the preceding analysis leads us in a different direction. It appears that in analogy to the old covenant community the people depicted in 6:4-6 are not genuine believers or true members of the new covenant community. Like their OT counterparts, they have experienced all these blessings (vv. 4-5), but like the wilderness generation they are hardhearted, rebellious (3:8) and possess an "evil heart of unbelief" (3:12, 19).<sup>50</sup> More clearly, 4:2 poignantly states that both groups (the wilderness generation and the new covenant community) have had the gospel preached to them, but the wilderness generation to which the readers of Hebrews are compared failed to believe, and therefore the message was of no value to them. Thus, the conclusion of Lane that "[t]ogether, the clauses describe vividly the reality of the experience of personal salvation enjoyed by the Christians addressed" is premature.<sup>51</sup> Wayne A. Grudem has recently proposed a similar understanding to the one presented in this section.<sup>52</sup> According to him, the descriptive phrases themselves in vv. 4-6 are inconclusive as to whether the subjects are genuine believers or not. Here in Hebrews 6 they describe "people who were not yet Christians but who had simply heard the gospel and had experienced several of the blessings of the Holy Spirit's work in the Christian community."<sup>53</sup> The falling away (v. 6) is not a falling from salvation, but a failure to exercise saving faith in light of the blessings to which the readers have been exposed

<sup>48</sup> "There is certainly some overlap of meaning between the four clauses, and attempts to distinguish sharply between them are contradictory and unsuccessful" (Ellingworth, *Hebrews*, 319).

<sup>49</sup> "Warning Passages," 48.

<sup>50</sup> See *m. Sanh.* 10:3 for rabbinic debate whether or not the wilderness generation would have a share in the age to come.

<sup>51</sup> Lane, *Hebrews 1-8*, 141. Cf. Oberholtzer, "Warning Passages 3," 321-22.

<sup>52</sup> Grudem, "Perseverance of the Saints," *The Grace of God*.

<sup>53</sup> *Ibid.*, 171-72. For further discussion of the issue of assurance see also D. A. Carson, "Reflections on Christian Assurance," *WTJ* 54 (1992) 1-29. The issue is not whether 6:4-6 describes a genuine experience (it does). Rather, the issue is whether 6:4-6 is describing those who have had a genuine saving experience. Therefore, it will not do to conclude that 6:4-6 envisions individuals who gave all the appearances of true saving faith (vv. 4-6), which their subsequent falling away demonstrated to be spurious.

through association with the Christian community.<sup>54</sup> The preceding sis of the OT background to 6:4-6 confirms Grudem's conclusions. Thus in analogy to the old covenant community, those envisioned in vv. 4-6 have experienced the blessings of the new covenant ("being enlightened," "tasting the heavenly gift," etc.), experiences common to all by virtue of belonging to the new covenant community, but have recapitulated the error of their old covenant predecessors by failing to believe and rejecting what they have experienced. In doing so they come under the covenantal curse.

#### *V. Conclusion*

Heb 6:4-6 provides a intriguing test-case and example of how uncovering OT allusions and echoes can shed valuable interpretive light on a problematic text. While an OT background to this section of Hebrews has gone virtually unnoticed (probably due to lack of explicit citations), it has been argued on contextual and linguistic grounds that the Old Testament depiction of the wilderness generation and the incident at Kadesh-barnea, which has "bled over" from its use in 3:7-4:13, provides a compelling background (through allusion and echo) to Heb 6:4-6 and yields valuable semantic results. It also has profound implications for dealing with a sticky theological difficulty.

<sup>54</sup> Hughes reflects a similar understanding when he concludes: "The sin of apostasy, then, is a grim (and far more than a merely hypothetical) possibility for persons who through identification with the people of God have been brought within the sphere of divine blessing" (*Hebrews*, 217).

This material is cited with gracious permission from:

Westminster Theological Seminary  
2960 W. Church Rd.  
Glenside, PA 19038  
[www.wtj.edu](http://www.wtj.edu)

Please report any errors to Ted Hildebrandt at: [thildebrandt@gordon.edu](mailto:thildebrandt@gordon.edu)

All Books Old Testament New testament Books of Law Books of History Books of Wisdom Major Prophets Minor Prophets The Gospels Pauline Epistles General Epistles Apocalyptic Books Genesis Exodus Leviticus Numbers Deuteronomy Joshua Judges Ruth 1 Samuel 2 Samuel 1 Kings 2 Kings 1 Chronicles 2 Chronicles Ezra Nehemiah Esther Job Psalms Proverbs Ecclesiastes Song of Songs Isaiah Jeremiah Lamentations Ezekiel Daniel Hosea. 5 who have tasted the goodness of the word of God and the powers of the coming age. 6 and who have fallen away, to be brought back to repentance. To their loss they are crucifying the Son of God all over again and subjecting him to public disgrace. In Context Parallel Compare. Study tools for Hebrews 6:4. Commentaries. CrossReferences. Survey of the Old Testament. Part 1 Genesis to Judges. Survey of the Old Testament Part 1 - Genesis to Judges. The Pentateuch, Joshua, Judges. Old Testament Survey. Introduction. Many Christians shy away from the Old Testament, or look only at a few well known passages. Much of the OT seems obscure, and difficult to place into a historical and theological context. The participants' weekly reading and the introductory comments at the beginning of each class are intended to help participants to gain an overview of the Old Testament in its historical context. The summaries should also guide the participants to see relevance to the New Testament. However, such study on its own could prove academic and sterile. Heb 6:4-6 in light of an OT background. In fact, I would contend that much misunderstanding of this section of Hebrews stems from a failure to. For the typological relationship of the people of God in the Old and New Testaments more generally see L. Goppelt, Typos (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1982). Moreover, in keeping with the typological nature of the analogy, the comparison between the wilderness generation and the new community in Heb 3:7-4:13 is a fortiori ("if ..., how much more").